

# Wild Ramification Kinks

Andrew Obus

University of Virginia

AMS Northeastern Sectional Meeting  
Special Session: Valuation theory and its applications  
New Brunswick, NJ, November 15, 2015  
Joint with Stefan Wewers

- Suppose we are given a finite branched cover  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of smooth projective curves over a non-archimedean field.
- Can “analytify” to get a finite map of (formal, rigid, Berkovich) spaces  $f^{an} : Y^{an} \rightarrow X^{an}$ .
- Let  $D \subseteq X^{an}$  be a rigid open disk.
- We can ask: When is  $f^{-1}(D)$  also (geometrically) an open disk?

- Suppose we are given a finite branched cover  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of smooth projective curves over a non-archimedean field.
- Can “analytify” to get a finite map of (formal, rigid, Berkovich) spaces  $f^{an} : Y^{an} \rightarrow X^{an}$ .
- Let  $D \subseteq X^{an}$  be a rigid open disk.
- We can ask: When is  $f^{-1}(D)$  also (geometrically) an open disk?

# Setup

- Suppose we are given a finite branched cover  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of smooth projective curves over a non-archimedean field.
- Can “analytify” to get a finite map of (formal, rigid, Berkovich) spaces  $f^{an} : Y^{an} \rightarrow X^{an}$ .
- Let  $D \subseteq X^{an}$  be a rigid open disk.
- We can ask: When is  $f^{-1}(D)$  also (geometrically) an open disk?

- Suppose we are given a finite branched cover  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of smooth projective curves over a non-archimedean field.
- Can “analytify” to get a finite map of (formal, rigid, Berkovich) spaces  $f^{an} : Y^{an} \rightarrow X^{an}$ .
- Let  $D \subseteq X^{an}$  be a rigid open disk.
- We can ask: When is  $f^{-1}(D)$  also (geometrically) an open disk?

# Examples

- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  given by  $z \mapsto z^m$ ,  $p \nmid m$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r < 1$ .
  - Indeed,  $f^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk iff  $D$  contains exactly one of 0 or  $\infty$ .
- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite extension given by  $z \mapsto z^p$ 
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r \leq p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , but is when  $r > p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , even when  $r < 1$ .
  - In Berkovich terms, the “topological ramification locus” contains more than just the path from 0 to  $\infty$ .

# Examples

- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  given by  $z \mapsto z^m$ ,  $p \nmid m$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r < 1$ .
  - Indeed,  $f^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk iff  $D$  contains exactly one of 0 or  $\infty$ .
- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite extension given by  $z \mapsto z^p$ 
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r \leq p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , but is when  $r > p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , even when  $r < 1$ .
  - In Berkovich terms, the “topological ramification locus” contains more than just the path from 0 to  $\infty$ .

# Examples

- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  given by  $z \mapsto z^m$ ,  $p \nmid m$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r < 1$ .
    - Indeed,  $f^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk iff  $D$  contains exactly one of 0 or  $\infty$ .
- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite extension given by  $z \mapsto z^p$ 
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r \leq p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , but is when  $r > p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , even when  $r < 1$ .
  - In Berkovich terms, the “topological ramification locus” contains more than just the path from 0 to  $\infty$ .



# Examples

- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  given by  $z \mapsto z^m$ ,  $p \nmid m$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r < 1$ .
  - Indeed,  $f^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk iff  $D$  contains exactly one of 0 or  $\infty$ .
- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite extension given by  $z \mapsto z^p$ 
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r \leq p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , but is when  $r > p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , even when  $r < 1$ .
  - In Berkovich terms, the “topological ramification locus” contains more than just the path from 0 to  $\infty$ .

# Examples

- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  given by  $z \mapsto z^m$ ,  $p \nmid m$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r < 1$ .
  - Indeed,  $f^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk iff  $D$  contains exactly one of 0 or  $\infty$ .
- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite extension given by  $z \mapsto z^p$ 
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r \leq p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , but is when  $r > p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , even when  $r < 1$ .
  - In Berkovich terms, the “topological ramification locus” contains more than just the path from 0 to  $\infty$ .

# Examples

- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  given by  $z \mapsto z^m$ ,  $p \nmid m$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r < 1$ .
  - Indeed,  $f^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk iff  $D$  contains exactly one of 0 or  $\infty$ .
- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite extension given by  $z \mapsto z^p$ 
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r \leq p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , but is when  $r > p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , even when  $r < 1$ .
  - In Berkovich terms, the “topological ramification locus” contains more than just the path from 0 to  $\infty$ .

# Examples

- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  given by  $z \mapsto z^m$ ,  $p \nmid m$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r < 1$ .
  - Indeed,  $f^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk iff  $D$  contains exactly one of 0 or  $\infty$ .
- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite extension given by  $z \mapsto z^p$ 
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r \leq p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , but is when  $r > p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , even when  $r < 1$ .
  - In Berkovich terms, the “topological ramification locus” contains more than just the path from 0 to  $\infty$ .

# Examples

- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  given by  $z \mapsto z^m$ ,  $p \nmid m$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r < 1$ .
  - Indeed,  $f^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk iff  $D$  contains exactly one of 0 or  $\infty$ .
- $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  over  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite extension given by  $z \mapsto z^p$ 
  - $f^{-1}(D(0, r))$  is an open disk for all  $r$ .
  - $f^{-1}(D(1, r))$  is not when  $r \leq p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , but is when  $r > p^{-p/(p-1)}$ , even when  $r < 1$ .
  - In Berkovich terms, the “topological ramification locus” contains more than just the path from 0 to  $\infty$ .

## (A simplified version of) the problem

- Let  $\mathcal{F} : Y \rightarrow X \times \mathcal{A}$  be a flat family of  $G$ -Galois branched covers of  $X$  over a mixed-characteristic non-archimedean field  $K$ , parameterized by an affinoid  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Let  $D \subseteq X^{an}$  be an open disk — fix an origin and a metric.
- For  $r > 0$ , let  $D(r) \subseteq D$  be the open disk of radius  $p^{-r}$ .

### Theorem

*Suppose there exists a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0 and  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_{a_i})^{-1}(D(r_i))$  is an open disk for all  $i$ . Then there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_a)^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.*

- The theorem is both more/less general than this.

## (A simplified version of) the problem

- Let  $\mathcal{F} : Y \rightarrow X \times \mathcal{A}$  be a flat family of  $G$ -Galois branched covers of  $X$  over a mixed-characteristic non-archimedean field  $K$ , parameterized by an affinoid  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Let  $D \subseteq X^{an}$  be an open disk — fix an origin and a metric.
- For  $r > 0$ , let  $D(r) \subseteq D$  be the open disk of radius  $p^{-r}$ .

### Theorem

*Suppose there exists a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0 and  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_{a_i})^{-1}(D(r_i))$  is an open disk for all  $i$ . Then there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_a)^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.*

- The theorem is both more/less general than this.

## (A simplified version of) the problem

- Let  $\mathcal{F} : Y \rightarrow X \times \mathcal{A}$  be a flat family of  $G$ -Galois branched covers of  $X$  over a mixed-characteristic non-archimedean field  $K$ , parameterized by an affinoid  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Let  $D \subseteq X^{an}$  be an open disk — fix an origin and a metric.
- For  $r > 0$ , let  $D(r) \subseteq D$  be the open disk of radius  $p^{-r}$ .

### Theorem

*Suppose there exists a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0 and  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_{a_i})^{-1}(D(r_i))$  is an open disk for all  $i$ . Then there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_a)^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.*

- The theorem is both more/less general than this.



## (A simplified version of) the problem

- Let  $\mathcal{F} : Y \rightarrow X \times \mathcal{A}$  be a flat family of  $G$ -Galois branched covers of  $X$  over a mixed-characteristic non-archimedean field  $K$ , parameterized by an affinoid  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Let  $D \subseteq X^{an}$  be an open disk — fix an origin and a metric.
- For  $r > 0$ , let  $D(r) \subseteq D$  be the open disk of radius  $p^{-r}$ .

### Theorem

*Suppose there exists a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0 and  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_{a_i})^{-1}(D(r_i))$  is an open disk for all  $i$ . Then there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_a)^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.*

- The theorem is both more/less general than this.

## (A simplified version of) the problem

- Let  $\mathcal{F} : Y \rightarrow X \times \mathcal{A}$  be a flat family of  $G$ -Galois branched covers of  $X$  over a mixed-characteristic non-archimedean field  $K$ , parameterized by an affinoid  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Let  $D \subseteq X^{an}$  be an open disk — fix an origin and a metric.
- For  $r > 0$ , let  $D(r) \subseteq D$  be the open disk of radius  $p^{-r}$ .

### Theorem

*Suppose there exists a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0 and  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_{a_i})^{-1}(D(r_i))$  is an open disk for all  $i$ . Then there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}|_a)^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.*

- The theorem is both more/less general than this.

# Motivation: the local lifting problem (LLP)

- The LLP asks: given a  $G$ -extension  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ , when  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic  $p$ , does there exist a characteristic zero DVR  $R$  with residue field  $k$ , and a  $G$ -Galois extension  $R[[Z]]/R[[T]]$  lifting  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ ?
- Can think of  $R[[T]]$  as the ring of functions on an open unit disk.
- Idea is to find a  $G$ -extension of  $\mathbb{K} := \text{Frac}(R[[T]])$  in which normalization of  $R[[T]]$  is  $R[[Z]]$  (another disk).
- New method of me and Wewers: Come up with an affinoid family of possible extensions. Show that, for a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0, one can find an extension in the family such that normalization of  $\text{Frac}(R[[p^{-r}T]])$  in the extension is a power series ring over  $R$ .
- This corresponds to the inverse image of  $D(r)$  being a disk. Then apply the theorem.

# Motivation: the local lifting problem (LLP)

- The LLP asks: given a  $G$ -extension  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ , when  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic  $p$ , does there exist a characteristic zero DVR  $R$  with residue field  $k$ , and a  $G$ -Galois extension  $R[[Z]]/R[[T]]$  lifting  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ ?
- Can think of  $R[[T]]$  as the ring of functions on an open unit disk.
- Idea is to find a  $G$ -extension of  $\mathbb{K} := \text{Frac}(R[[T]])$  in which normalization of  $R[[T]]$  is  $R[[Z]]$  (another disk).
- New method of me and Wewers: Come up with an affinoid family of possible extensions. Show that, for a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0, one can find an extension in the family such that normalization of  $\text{Frac}(R[[p^{-r}T]])$  in the extension is a power series ring over  $R$ .
- This corresponds to the inverse image of  $D(r)$  being a disk. Then apply the theorem.

# Motivation: the local lifting problem (LLP)

- The LLP asks: given a  $G$ -extension  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ , when  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic  $p$ , does there exist a characteristic zero DVR  $R$  with residue field  $k$ , and a  $G$ -Galois extension  $R[[Z]]/R[[T]]$  lifting  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ ?
- Can think of  $R[[T]]$  as the ring of functions on an open unit disk.
- Idea is to find a  $G$ -extension of  $\mathbb{K} := \text{Frac}(R[[T]])$  in which normalization of  $R[[T]]$  is  $R[[Z]]$  (another disk).
- New method of me and Wewers: Come up with an affinoid family of possible extensions. Show that, for a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0, one can find an extension in the family such that normalization of  $\text{Frac}(R[[p^{-r}T]])$  in the extension is a power series ring over  $R$ .
- This corresponds to the inverse image of  $D(r)$  being a disk. Then apply the theorem.

# Motivation: the local lifting problem (LLP)

- The LLP asks: given a  $G$ -extension  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ , when  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic  $p$ , does there exist a characteristic zero DVR  $R$  with residue field  $k$ , and a  $G$ -Galois extension  $R[[Z]]/R[[T]]$  lifting  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ ?
- Can think of  $R[[T]]$  as the ring of functions on an open unit disk.
- Idea is to find a  $G$ -extension of  $\mathbb{K} := \text{Frac}(R[[T]])$  in which normalization of  $R[[T]]$  is  $R[[Z]]$  (another disk).
- New method of me and Wewers: Come up with an affinoid family of possible extensions. Show that, for a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0, one can find an extension in the family such that normalization of  $\text{Frac}(R[[p^{-r}T]])$  in the extension is a power series ring over  $R$ .
- This corresponds to the inverse image of  $D(r)$  being a disk. Then apply the theorem.

# Motivation: the local lifting problem (LLP)

- The LLP asks: given a  $G$ -extension  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ , when  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic  $p$ , does there exist a characteristic zero DVR  $R$  with residue field  $k$ , and a  $G$ -Galois extension  $R[[Z]]/R[[T]]$  lifting  $k[[z]]/k[[t]]$ ?
- Can think of  $R[[T]]$  as the ring of functions on an open unit disk.
- Idea is to find a  $G$ -extension of  $\mathbb{K} := \text{Frac}(R[[T]])$  in which normalization of  $R[[T]]$  is  $R[[Z]]$  (another disk).
- New method of me and Wewers: Come up with an affinoid family of possible extensions. Show that, for a sequence  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  decreasing to 0, one can find an extension in the family such that normalization of  $\text{Frac}(R[[p^{-r}T]])$  in the extension is a power series ring over  $R$ .
- This corresponds to the inverse image of  $D(r)$  being a disk. Then apply the theorem.

# Why valuations?

- Simplify: Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Base field  $K$  contains  $\mu_p$ . Write  $K(X) = K(T)$ .  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  given by extracting a  $p$ th root of  $F$ .
- Our “families of covers” are more or less families of rational functions  $F$  as above (Kummer theory).
- Take  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $v_r$  be the “Gauss valuation” on  $K(T)$  with respect to  $D[r]$  (i.e.,  $v_r(T) = r$ ).
- Completion of  $K(T)$  at  $v_r$  is DVR with *imperfect* residue field  $k(t)$ , with  $t$  the reduction of  $p^{-r}T$ .
- Want to measure “geometric” wild ramification of  $v_r$  in  $K(Y) = K(T, \sqrt[p]{F})$  (comes from inseparable residue field extension).



# Why valuations?

- Simplify: Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Base field  $K$  contains  $\mu_p$ . Write  $K(X) = K(T)$ .  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  given by extracting a  $p$ th root of  $F$ .
- Our “families of covers” are more or less families of rational functions  $F$  as above (Kummer theory).
- Take  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $v_r$  be the “Gauss valuation” on  $K(T)$  with respect to  $D[r]$  (i.e.,  $v_r(T) = r$ ).
- Completion of  $K(T)$  at  $v_r$  is DVR with *imperfect* residue field  $k(t)$ , with  $t$  the reduction of  $p^{-r}T$ .
- Want to measure “geometric” wild ramification of  $v_r$  in  $K(Y) = K(T, \sqrt[p]{F})$  (comes from inseparable residue field extension).

# Why valuations?

- Simplify: Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Base field  $K$  contains  $\mu_p$ . Write  $K(X) = K(T)$ .  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  given by extracting a  $p$ th root of  $F$ .
- Our “families of covers” are more or less families of rational functions  $F$  as above (Kummer theory).
- Take  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $v_r$  be the “Gauss valuation” on  $K(T)$  with respect to  $D[r]$  (i.e.,  $v_r(T) = r$ ).
- Completion of  $K(T)$  at  $v_r$  is DVR with *imperfect* residue field  $k(t)$ , with  $t$  the reduction of  $p^{-r}T$ .
- Want to measure “geometric” wild ramification of  $v_r$  in  $K(Y) = K(T, \sqrt[p]{F})$  (comes from inseparable residue field extension).

# Why valuations?

- Simplify: Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Base field  $K$  contains  $\mu_p$ . Write  $K(X) = K(T)$ .  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  given by extracting a  $p$ th root of  $F$ .
- Our “families of covers” are more or less families of rational functions  $F$  as above (Kummer theory).
- Take  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $v_r$  be the “Gauss valuation” on  $K(T)$  with respect to  $D[r]$  (i.e.,  $v_r(T) = r$ ).
- Completion of  $K(T)$  at  $v_r$  is DVR with *imperfect* residue field  $k(t)$ , with  $t$  the reduction of  $p^{-r}T$ .
- Want to measure “geometric” wild ramification of  $v_r$  in  $K(Y) = K(T, \sqrt[p]{F})$  (comes from inseparable residue field extension).

# Why valuations?

- Simplify: Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Base field  $K$  contains  $\mu_p$ . Write  $K(X) = K(T)$ .  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  given by extracting a  $p$ th root of  $F$ .
- Our “families of covers” are more or less families of rational functions  $F$  as above (Kummer theory).
- Take  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $v_r$  be the “Gauss valuation” on  $K(T)$  with respect to  $D[r]$  (i.e.,  $v_r(T) = r$ ).
- Completion of  $K(T)$  at  $v_r$  is DVR with *imperfect* residue field  $k(t)$ , with  $t$  the reduction of  $p^{-r}T$ .
- Want to measure “geometric” wild ramification of  $v_r$  in  $K(Y) = K(T, \sqrt[p]{F})$  (comes from inseparable residue field extension).

# Valuations, continued

- For each  $F \in K(X)^\times / (K(X)^\times)^p$ , we can define a piecewise-linear, continuous function  $\delta_F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  using Kato's *depth Swan conductor* (this essentially measures the different of  $K(Y)/K(X)$  relative to  $v_r$ ).
- KEY POINT: The inverse image of  $D(r)$  is a disk iff the right-slope of  $\delta_F$  at  $r$  is one less than the number of branch points of  $f$  inside  $D(r)$ .
- So we can detect disks using the kinks in the function  $\delta_F$  (hence the title).

# Valuations, continued

- For each  $F \in K(X)^\times / (K(X)^\times)^p$ , we can define a piecewise-linear, continuous function  $\delta_F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  using Kato's *depth Swan conductor* (this essentially measures the different of  $K(Y)/K(X)$  relative to  $v_r$ ).
- KEY POINT: The inverse image of  $D(r)$  is a disk iff the right-slope of  $\delta_F$  at  $r$  is one less than the number of branch points of  $f$  inside  $D(r)$ .
- So we can detect disks using the kinks in the function  $\delta_F$  (hence the title).

# Valuations, continued

- For each  $F \in K(X)^\times / (K(X)^\times)^p$ , we can define a piecewise-linear, continuous function  $\delta_F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  using Kato's *depth Swan conductor* (this essentially measures the different of  $K(Y)/K(X)$  relative to  $v_r$ ).
- KEY POINT: The inverse image of  $D(r)$  is a disk iff the right-slope of  $\delta_F$  at  $r$  is one less than the number of branch points of  $f$  inside  $D(r)$ .
- So we can detect disks using the kinks in the function  $\delta_F$  (hence the title).

# Sketch of the proof of the theorem

- The function  $\delta_F(r)$  can be read off directly from  $F$  so long as the normalized residue of  $F - 1 \pmod{v_r}$  is not a  $p$ th power. Call such an  $F$  in “standard form.”
- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the affinoid parameterizing our covers. For  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f_a : Y_a \rightarrow X$  is the corresponding cover.
- First step: Find a family of rational functions  $F_a$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $F_a$  is a Kummer representative for  $f_a$  in standard form (might require finite cover of  $\mathcal{A}$ ).
- Thus  $\delta_{F_a}$  can be read off easily.



# Sketch of the proof of the theorem

- The function  $\delta_F(r)$  can be read off directly from  $F$  so long as the normalized residue of  $F - 1 \pmod{v_r}$  is not a  $p$ th power. Call such an  $F$  in “standard form.”
- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the affinoid parameterizing our covers. For  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f_a : Y_a \rightarrow X$  is the corresponding cover.
- First step: Find a family of rational functions  $F_a$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $F_a$  is a Kummer representative for  $f_a$  in standard form (might require finite cover of  $\mathcal{A}$ ).
- Thus  $\delta_{F_a}$  can be read off easily.

# Sketch of the proof of the theorem

- The function  $\delta_F(r)$  can be read off directly from  $F$  so long as the normalized residue of  $F - 1 \pmod{v_r}$  is not a  $p$ th power. Call such an  $F$  in “standard form.”
- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the affinoid parameterizing our covers. For  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f_a : Y_a \rightarrow X$  is the corresponding cover.
- First step: Find a family of rational functions  $F_a$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $F_a$  is a Kummer representative for  $f_a$  in standard form (might require finite cover of  $\mathcal{A}$ ).
- Thus  $\delta_{F_a}$  can be read off easily.

# Sketch of the proof of the theorem

- The function  $\delta_F(r)$  can be read off directly from  $F$  so long as the normalized residue of  $F - 1 \pmod{v_r}$  is not a  $p$ th power. Call such an  $F$  in “standard form.”
- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the affinoid parameterizing our covers. For  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f_a : Y_a \rightarrow X$  is the corresponding cover.
- First step: Find a family of rational functions  $F_a$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $F_a$  is a Kummer representative for  $f_a$  in standard form (might require finite cover of  $\mathcal{A}$ ).
- Thus  $\delta_{F_a}$  can be read off easily.

## Sketch of the proof of the theorem (continued)

- Fix  $R > 0$  such that no branch point of any  $f_a$  lies in  $D \setminus D(r)$ . Let  $m$  be the total number of branch points in  $D(r)$  of one (equiv. all)  $f_a$ .
- Let  $r_a$  be the supremum over all  $r \in [0, R]$  such that the right-slope of  $\delta_{F_a}$  at  $r$  is not equal to  $m - 1$ . Then  $r_a$  (as a function of  $a$ ) can be expressed as the valuation of an analytic function on  $\mathcal{A}$  (really need a finite cover here again — but  $r_a$  descends to  $\mathcal{A}$  as a function).
- By maximum principle,  $r_a$  achieves its minimum on  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- By input to the theorem, this minimum must be 0! So  $r_b = 0$  for some  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- Then  $f_b^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.

## Sketch of the proof of the theorem (continued)

- Fix  $R > 0$  such that no branch point of any  $f_a$  lies in  $D \setminus D(r)$ . Let  $m$  be the total number of branch points in  $D(r)$  of one (equiv. all)  $f_a$ .
- Let  $r_a$  be the supremum over all  $r \in [0, R]$  such that the right-slope of  $\delta_{F_a}$  at  $r$  is not equal to  $m - 1$ . Then  $r_a$  (as a function of  $a$ ) can be expressed as the valuation of an analytic function on  $\mathcal{A}$  (really need a finite cover here again — but  $r_a$  descends to  $\mathcal{A}$  as a function).
- By maximum principle,  $r_a$  achieves its minimum on  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- By input to the theorem, this minimum must be 0! So  $r_b = 0$  for some  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- Then  $f_b^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.

## Sketch of the proof of the theorem (continued)

- Fix  $R > 0$  such that no branch point of any  $f_a$  lies in  $D \setminus D(r)$ . Let  $m$  be the total number of branch points in  $D(r)$  of one (equiv. all)  $f_a$ .
- Let  $r_a$  be the supremum over all  $r \in [0, R]$  such that the right-slope of  $\delta_{F_a}$  at  $r$  is not equal to  $m - 1$ . Then  $r_a$  (as a function of  $a$ ) can be expressed as the valuation of an analytic function on  $\mathcal{A}$  (really need a finite cover here again — but  $r_a$  descends to  $\mathcal{A}$  as a function).
- By maximum principle,  $r_a$  achieves its minimum on  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- By input to the theorem, this minimum must be 0! So  $r_b = 0$  for some  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- Then  $f_b^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.

## Sketch of the proof of the theorem (continued)

- Fix  $R > 0$  such that no branch point of any  $f_a$  lies in  $D \setminus D(r)$ . Let  $m$  be the total number of branch points in  $D(r)$  of one (equiv. all)  $f_a$ .
- Let  $r_a$  be the supremum over all  $r \in [0, R]$  such that the right-slope of  $\delta_{F_a}$  at  $r$  is not equal to  $m - 1$ . Then  $r_a$  (as a function of  $a$ ) can be expressed as the valuation of an analytic function on  $\mathcal{A}$  (really need a finite cover here again — but  $r_a$  descends to  $\mathcal{A}$  as a function).
- By maximum principle,  $r_a$  achieves its minimum on  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- By input to the theorem, this minimum must be 0! So  $r_b = 0$  for some  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- Then  $f_b^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.

## Sketch of the proof of the theorem (continued)

- Fix  $R > 0$  such that no branch point of any  $f_a$  lies in  $D \setminus D(r)$ . Let  $m$  be the total number of branch points in  $D(r)$  of one (equiv. all)  $f_a$ .
- Let  $r_a$  be the supremum over all  $r \in [0, R]$  such that the right-slope of  $\delta_{F_a}$  at  $r$  is not equal to  $m - 1$ . Then  $r_a$  (as a function of  $a$ ) can be expressed as the valuation of an analytic function on  $\mathcal{A}$  (really need a finite cover here again — but  $r_a$  descends to  $\mathcal{A}$  as a function).
- By maximum principle,  $r_a$  achieves its minimum on  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- By input to the theorem, this minimum must be 0! So  $r_b = 0$  for some  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- Then  $f_b^{-1}(D)$  is an open disk.



- Thank you!