
Semidefinite characterization and computation of real radical ideals

Jean Bernard Lasserre - Monique Laurent - Philipp Rostalski

LAAS, Toulouse - CWI, Amsterdam - ETH, Zürich

Banff Workshop on Positive Polynomials and Optimization

October 7-12, 2006

The problem

Given an ideal I generated by $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

with $|V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)| < \infty$

Find $V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$ and the **real radical ideal** $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$

$$V_{\mathbb{R}}(I) := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(v) = 0 \forall f \in I\}$$

$$I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)) := \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid f(v) = 0 \forall v \in V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)\}$$

$$= \sqrt[\mathbb{R}]{I} := \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid f^{2m} + s \in I \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}, s \text{ s.o.s.}\}$$

Our contribution

- A *semidefinite characterization of $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$* [as the kernel of a suitable positive semidefinite moment matrix]
- An algorithm for finding a (**border / Gröbner** for any total degree term ordering) **basis of $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$** , a **linear basis of $\mathbb{R}[x]/I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$** , and **$V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$**

Remarks about the method:

- *real algebraic* in nature: no complex roots computed
- no Gröbner basis of I is needed
- *numerical*, based on SDP
- works if $V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$ is finite (even if $V(I)$ is not)
- works for $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I) \cap S)$, where $S = \{x \mid g_j(x) \geq 0 \ j = 1, \dots, k\}$
- extends to the complex case: for $V(I)$ and $I(V(I))$

The complex case is well understood

Given an ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x]$ with $|V(I)| < \infty$,
find $V(I)$ and the **radical ideal** $I(V(I))$

Let p_i be the monic generator of $I \cap \mathbb{C}[x_i]$
(easy to find if we have a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x]/I$)

Let q_i be the square-free part of p_i , $q_i = \frac{p_i}{\text{g.c.d.}(p_i, p_i')}$

Seidenberg [1974]: $I(V(I)) = \langle I \cup \{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \rangle$.

Several performant methods for computing $V(I)$; e.g. RUR
method [Rouillier], homotopy methods [Verschelde], eigenvalue
method, ...

Some notation

- $\mathbb{R}[x] = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$
- Identify a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $x \mapsto p(x) = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$, with its sequence of coefficients $\text{vec}(p) := (p_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$

- $v \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightsquigarrow \boxed{\zeta_v := (v^{\alpha})_{\alpha}}$

Thus: $p(v) = \text{vec}(p)^T \zeta_v$

- $\mathbb{N}_t^n := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid |\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i \leq t\}$
- $\mathbb{T}_n := \{x^{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$, $\mathbb{T}_{n,t} := \{x^{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_t^n\}$

Moment matrices

$y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^n} \rightsquigarrow M(y)$ matrix indexed by \mathbb{N}^n

$$[M(y)]_{\alpha,\beta} := y_{\alpha+\beta} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n)$$

Fact: If $M(y) \succeq 0$, then $\text{Ker}M(y)$ is a real radical ideal in $\mathbb{R}[x]$

Notation: Say that " $p \in \text{Ker}M(y)$ " if $\text{vec}(p) \in \text{Ker}M(y)$

Sketch of proof: Use identity:

$$\text{vec}(f)^T M(y) \text{vec}(gh) = \text{vec}(fg)^T M(y) \text{vec}(h) \quad \forall f, g, h \in \mathbb{R}[x]$$

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 \in \text{Ker}M(y) &\implies 0 = \text{vec}(1)^T M(y) \text{vec}(p^2) = \text{vec}(p)^T M(y) \text{vec}(p) \\ &\implies p \in \text{Ker}M(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$p^{2m} + \sum_i g_i^2 \in \text{Ker}M(y) \implies p^m \in \text{Ker}M(y) \implies p \in \text{Ker}M(y)$$

Positive semidefinite moment matrices

Fact: If y has a **representing measure** μ , i.e.,

$$y_\alpha = \int x^\alpha d\mu(x) \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n)$$

and μ is positive, then $M(y) \succeq 0$ and $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq V(\text{Ker}M(y))$

Proof: $\text{vec}(p)^T M(y) \text{vec}(p) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} p_\alpha p_\beta y_{\alpha+\beta} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} p_\alpha p_\beta \int x^{\alpha+\beta} d\mu(x) = \int p(x)^2 d\mu(x) \geq 0$

Say that μ is **r -atomic** if $|\text{supp}(\mu)| = r$,
i.e., $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \delta_{v_i}$ for some $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda_i > 0$.

Then, $M(y) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \zeta_v \zeta_v^T$ has rank r .

Finite rank moment matrices

Thm CF1 [Curto-Fialkow 1996]: $M(y) \succeq 0, \text{rank}M(y) < \infty$
 $\iff y$ has a finitely atomic positive representing measure μ

Sketch of proof [La 2005]:

- $J := \text{Ker}M(y)$ is real radical ideal $\implies V(J) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$
- $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_n$ basis of column space of $M(y) \iff \mathcal{B}$ basis of $\mathbb{R}[x]/J$

Hence: $|V(J)| = \dim \mathbb{R}[x]/J = \text{rank}M(y) < \infty$

- p_v ($v \in V(J)$) interpolation polynomials \rightsquigarrow basis of $\mathbb{R}[x]/J$
- $M(y) = \sum_{v \in V(J)} \underbrace{\text{vec}(p_v)^T M(y) \text{vec}(p_v)}_{\lambda_v > 0} \zeta_v \zeta_v^T$

Hence: $\mu = \sum_{v \in V(J)} \lambda_v \delta_v$ is a representing measure for y ,

with $\text{supp}(\mu) = V(J)$ and $\text{rank}M(y) = |V(J)|$

Semidefinite characterization of $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$

$$I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_m \rangle \text{ with } |V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)| < \infty$$

$$K := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid M(y) \succeq 0, M(h_j y) = 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, m)\}$$

$$\text{setting } hy := M(y)\text{vec}(h), \text{ i.e., } (hy)_\alpha = \sum_\beta h_\beta y_{\alpha+\beta}$$

Theorem 1:

- $K = \text{cone}(\zeta_v \mid v \in V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$
- $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)) \subseteq \text{Ker}M(y)$ for all $y \in K$, with **equality** iff $\text{rank}M(y)$ is maximum ($= |V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)|$), i.e., $y \in \text{int}(K)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } y \in K \implies I \subseteq \text{Ker}M(y) &\implies V(\text{Ker}M(y)) \subseteq V_{\mathbb{R}}(I) \\ &\iff I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)) \subseteq \text{Ker}M(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus: } \text{rank}M(y) = |V(\text{Ker}M(y))| \leq |V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)|$$

How to turn this into an algorithm?

Answer: Use *truncated moment matrices* $M_t(y)$ [indexed by \mathbb{N}_t^n]

$$K_t := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_{2t}^n} \mid M_t(y) \succeq 0, M_{t-d_j}(h_j y) = 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, m)\}$$

for $t \geq d$, setting $d_j := \lceil \deg(h_j)/2 \rceil$, $d := \max_j d_j$

Theorem 2: Let $y \in K_t$ with $\text{rank} M_t(y)$ maximum.

Consider the conditions:

(F1) $\text{rank} M_s(y) = \text{rank} M_{s-d}(y)$ for some $d \leq s \leq t$,

(F2) $\text{rank} M_s(y) = \text{rank} M_{s-1}(y)$ for some $2d \leq s \leq t$.

If (F1) or (F2) holds, then $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)) = \langle \text{Ker} M_s(y) \rangle$.

We say that ‘ $M_s(y)$ is a flat extension of $M_{s-1}(y)$ ’ when $\text{rank} M_s(y) = \text{rank} M_{s-1}(y)$.

Proof

Claim 1: $\text{Ker}M_s(y) \subseteq I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$

Pf: [Geometric property of SDP]

$\text{rank}M_t(y)$ maximum $\implies \text{Ker}M_t(y) \subseteq \text{Ker}M_t(y') \quad \forall y' \in K_t$
 $\implies \text{Ker}M_t(y) \subseteq \bigcap_{v \in V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)} \text{Ker}M_t(\zeta_v) \subseteq I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)) \quad [\text{as } \zeta_v \in K_t]$

As $M_t(\zeta_v) = \zeta_v \zeta_v^T$, $p \in \text{Ker}M_t(\zeta_v) \implies p(v) = 0$

Claim 2: $I \subseteq \langle \text{Ker}M_s(y) \rangle$

Pf: $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \text{Ker}M_s(y) \quad [\text{by (F1), or (F2)}]$

Claim 3: $\langle \text{Ker}M_s(y) \rangle$ is real radical

[see *Flat extension theorem* below]

Thus: $I \subseteq \langle \text{Ker}M_s(y) \rangle \subseteq I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)) \implies V(\text{Ker}M_s(y)) = V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$
 $\implies \langle \text{Ker}M_s(y) \rangle = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)).$

Curto-Fialkow flat extension theorem

Theorem CF2 [Curto-Fialkow 1996]:

$$M_s(y) \succeq 0, \text{rank} M_s(y) = \text{rank} M_{s-1}(y)$$

$\implies y$ can be extended to $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^n}$ in such a way that

$$\text{rank} M(\tilde{y}) = \text{rank} M_s(y), \quad M(\tilde{y}) \succeq 0, \quad \text{Ker} M(\tilde{y}) = \langle \text{Ker} M_s(y) \rangle.$$

Property: For $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{n,s}$

\mathcal{B} indexes a maximum nonsingular principal submatrix of $M_s(y)$

[i.e., \mathcal{B} is a basis of the column space of $M_s(y)$]

$\iff \mathcal{B}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}[x] / \langle \text{Ker} M_s(y) \rangle$

The method terminates

Theorem 3: (F1) holds for t large enough.

Claim 1: For $t \geq 2d$, $\text{Ker}M_t(y) \supseteq h_1, \dots, h_m$.

Claim 2: For $t \geq t_0$, $\text{Ker}M_t(y) \supseteq \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$, a Gröbner basis of $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$ for a total-degree monomial ordering.

Pf: $g_l^{2m_l} + \sigma_l = \sum_{j=1}^m u_j^{(l)} h_j$, where σ_l s.o.s., $m_l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 3: $\exists t_1$ s.t. $\forall t \geq t_1 + 1$, $\text{rank}M_{t_1}(y) = \text{rank}M_{t_1-d}(y)$.

Pf: $\mathcal{B} := \{b_1, \dots, b_N\}$ Gröbner basis of $\mathbb{R}[x]/I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$ for total deg. ordering, $d_{\mathcal{B}} := \max_i \deg(b_i)$, $t_1 := \max(d + d_{\mathcal{B}}, t_0)$.

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{t_1}^n$, write $x^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i b_i + \sum_{l=1}^k u_l^{(\alpha)} g_l$.

As $\deg(u_l^{(\alpha)} g_l) \leq |\alpha| \leq t - 1$, $x^\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i b_i \in \text{Ker}M_t(y)$.

The optimum matrix $M_s(y)$ carries lots of information about

$I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$

(1) One can find a **basis** \mathcal{B} of $\mathbb{R}[x]/I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$: *Any basis of the column space of $M_s(y)$*

(2) One can compute the **multiplication matrices** M_{x_i} in $\mathbb{R}[x]/I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$:

$$M_{x_i} = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} P_{x_i}$$

- $M_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the principal submatrix of $M_s(y)$ indexed by \mathcal{B}
- P_{x_i} is the submatrix of $M_s(y)$ with rows in \mathcal{B} and columns in $x_i \mathcal{B}$

(3) Hence one can compute $V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$

[from the eigenvalues of M_{x_i}]

Finding a basis of $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$

(4) When $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{n,s}$ is closed under division, one can compute a **border basis of $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$** :

Set $\mathcal{B} := \{b_1, \dots, b_N\}$ and $M_{x_i} = (a_k^{(ij)})_{j,k=1}^N$.

That is, $g_{ij} := x_i b_j - \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^{(ij)} b_k \in I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$. Then,

$$G_{\mathcal{B}} := \{g_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, N\}$$

is a (border) basis of $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$.

(5) Let \mathcal{B}_{\prec} be the set of standard monomials of $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$ for a total degree term ordering. Then, $\mathcal{B}_{\prec} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{n,s}$,

- \mathcal{B}_{\prec} can be found by a ‘greedy sieve’ algorithm using an independence oracle in $\mathbb{R}[x]/I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$ [i.e., column space of $M_s(y)$]
- $G_{\mathcal{B}_{\prec}}$ is a **Gröbner basis** for \prec

A fundamental property of border bases

$\mathcal{B} := \{b_1, \dots, b_N\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_n$, **closed under division.**

$\partial\mathcal{B} := \cup_{i=1}^n x_i \mathcal{B}$, the **border** of \mathcal{B}

$G := \{g_{ij} := x_i b_j - \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^{(ij)} b_k \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, N\}$, a **\mathcal{B} -border prebasis**

$M_i := (a_k^{(ij)})_{j,k=1}^N$, the **formal multiplication matrices**

$J := \langle G \rangle$

Theorem [e.g. Kehrein, Kreuzer, Robbiano] [Mourrain]

\mathcal{B} is a basis of $\mathbb{R}[x]/J \iff M_1, \dots, M_n$ pairwise commute.

In that case, G is a (border) basis of J .

Application to finding a subideal of $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$ [without (F1), (F2)]

Let $y \in K_t$ with $\text{rank}M_t(y)$ maximum, $1 \leq s \leq t$.

$\mathcal{B} := \{b_1, \dots, b_N\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{n,s}$ index a maximum nonsingular principal submatrix of $M_{s-1}(y)$, and $\partial\mathcal{B}$ the border of \mathcal{B} .

If $\text{rank}M_{\mathcal{B} \cup \partial\mathcal{B}}(y) = \text{rank}M_{\mathcal{B}}(y)$, one can construct a \mathcal{B} -border prebasis G and the formal multiplication matrices M_i .

We have: $J := \langle G \rangle \subseteq \text{Ker}M_s(y) \subseteq I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$.

Theorem: Assume \mathcal{B} is closed and the M_i 's commute.

Then \mathcal{B} is a basis of $\mathbb{R}[x]/J$, G is a border basis of J , $V(J) \supseteq V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$, with equality: $J = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$ if $|V(J)| = |V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)| = \text{rank}M_{s-1}(y)$.

Two small examples

Ex. 1: $I = \langle h := x_1^2 + x_2^2 \rangle$ $[d = 1]$

$$V_{\mathbb{R}}(I) = \{0\}, V(I) = \{(x_1, \pm ix_1) \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

$$M_1(y) \succeq 0, M_0(hy) = 0 \implies y_\alpha = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \neq 0.$$

If $\text{rank} M_1(y)$ is maximum, then $y = (y_0, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $y_0 > 0$.

Thus, $\langle \text{Ker} M_1(y) \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$.

Ex. 2: $I = \langle h_i := x_i(x_i^2 + 1) \mid i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$ $[d = 2]$

$$V_{\mathbb{R}}(I) = \{0\}, |V(I)| = 3^n.$$

$$M_3(y) \succeq 0, M_1(h_i y) = 0 \implies y_\alpha = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \neq 0.$$

If $\text{rank} M_1(y)$ is maximum, then $y = (y_0, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $y_0 > 0$.

Thus, $\langle \text{Ker} M_1(y) \rangle = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I))$.

Some algorithmic issues

How to find $y \in K_t$ for which $\text{rank}M_t(y)$ is maximum ?

We use a SDP solver with the ‘extended self-dual embedding property’; e.g., SeDuMi-1.05

Then the central path converges to a solution in the relative interior of the optimum face, i.e., to a solution with maximum rank

How to compute ranks of matrices ?

We use SVD decomposition, but this is a sensitive numerical issue ...

Some numerical examples

$$I = \langle x_2^4 x_1 + 3x_1^3 - x_2^4 - 3x_1^2, x_1^2 x_2 - 2x_1^2, 2x_2^4 x_1 - x_1^3 - 2x_2^4 + x_1^2 \rangle, d = 3$$

order t	rank sequence	extract. order MON/SVD	accuracy MON/SVD	comm. error MON/SVD
3	1 3 5 9	—	—	—
4	1 2 2 2 7	4(2)/3(2)	1.9717e-9/0.00013144	9.676e-10/3.3908e-6
5	1 2 2 2 2 8	4(2)/4(2)	2.9557e-8/3.5325e-5	1.8781e-11/1.2291e-6

(F1) holds

Quotient linear basis: $\mathcal{B} = \{1, x_1\}$

Border basis: $G = \{-x_1 + \mathbf{x}_1^2, -2x_1 + \mathbf{x}_2, -2x_1 + \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2\}$

Real solutions: $\begin{cases} x_1 = (2.12e-8, 1.91e-6), \\ x_2 = (1, 2). \end{cases}$

An example for $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(I) \cap S)$

$$I = \langle x_1 + x_2 - 2, x_1x_3 + x_2x_4, x_1x_3^2 + x_2x_4^2 - \frac{2}{3}, x_1x_3^3 + x_2x_4^3 \rangle, S = [-1, 1]^4, d = 2$$

order t	rank sequence	extract. order MON/SVD	accuracy MON/SVD	comm. error MON/SVD
2	1 2 11	2(2)/—(—)	0.00010224/—	1.1124e-9/—
3	1 2 2 18	2(2)/2(2)	1.8985e-14/5.1015e-14	1.2212e-15/1.4155e-15
4	1 2 2 2 24	2(2)/2(2)	3.5527e-15/8.5487e-15	2.2204e-16/1.1102e-16

(F1) holds

Quotient basis: $\mathcal{B} = \{1, x_3\}$

Border basis:

$$G = \{-1 + \mathbf{x}_1, -x_3 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_3, -1 + \mathbf{x}_2, -x_3 + \mathbf{x}_2\mathbf{x}_3, -0.33333 + \mathbf{x}_3^2, x_3 + \mathbf{x}_4, 0.33333 + \mathbf{x}_3\mathbf{x}_4\}$$

$$\text{Real solutions: } \begin{cases} x_1 = (1, 1, -0.577, 0.577) \\ x_2 = (1, 1, 0.577, -0.577) \end{cases}$$

An example from the Bini-Mourrain test suite: extraction possible without (F1) or (F2)

$$I = \langle 5x_1^9 - 6x_1^5x_2 + x_1x_2^4 + 2x_1x_3, -2x_1^6x_2 + 2x_1^2x_2^3 + 2x_2x_3, x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 0.265625 \rangle$$

$$d = 5, |V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)| = 8, |V(I)| = 20$$

order t	rank sequence	extract. order MON/SVD	accuracy MON/SVD	comm. error MON/SVD
5	1 4 8 16 25 34	—	—	—
6	1 3 9 15 22 26 32	—	—	—
7	1 3 8 10 12 16 20 24	3(3)/—(—)	0.12786/—	0.00019754/—
8	1 4 8 8 8 12 16 20 24	4(3)/3(3)	4.6789e-5/0.00013406	4.7073e-5/0.00075005

Quotient basis: $\mathcal{B} = \{1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3\} \rightsquigarrow$ border basis G of size 10

$$\text{Real solutions: } \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x_1 = (-0.515, -0.000153, -0.0124) & x_2 = (-0.502, 0.119, 0.0124) \\ x_3 = (0.502, 0.119, 0.0124) & x_4 = (0.515, -0.000185, -0.0125) \\ x_5 = (0.262, 0.444, -0.0132) & x_6 = (-2.07e-5, 0.515, -1.27e-6) \\ x_7 = (-0.262, 0.444, -0.0132) & x_8 = (-1.05e-5, -0.515, -7.56e-7) \end{array} \right.$$

Katsura 5 example: extraction possible only using a SVD quotient basis

$$I = \langle 2x_6^2 + 2x_5^2 + 2x_4^2 + 2x_3^2 + 2x_2^2 + x_1^2 - x_1, x_6x_5 + x_5x_4 + 2x_4x_3 + 2x_3x_2 + 2x_2x_1 - x_2, 2x_6x_4 + 2x_5x_3 + 2x_4x_2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3x_1 - x_3, 2x_6x_3 + 2x_5x_2 + 2x_3x_2 + 2x_4x_1 - x_4, x_3^2 + 2x_6x_1 + 2x_5x_1 + 2x_4x_1 - x_5, 2x_6 + 2x_5 + 2x_4 + 2x_3 + 2x_2 + x_1 - 1 \rangle$$

$d = 1, |V_{\mathbb{R}}(I)| = 12, |V(I)| = 32$

order t	rank sequence	extract. order MON/SVD	accuracy MON/SVD	comm. error MON/SVD
2	1 6 16	—	—	—
3	1 6 12 12	—/3(3)	—/1.1928e-005	—/2.3073e-007

Real solutions:

- | | |
|---|--|
| (0.277, 0.226, 0.162, 0.0858, 0.0115, -0.124) | (0.59, 0.0422, 0.327, -0.0642, -0.0874, -0.0132) |
| (1, -2.8e-7, 4.7e-7, 8.81e-7, -2.79e-6, -3.69e-6) | (0.239, 0.0608, -0.0622, -0.0233, 0.186, 0.219) |
| (0.441, 0.151, 0.0225, 0.219, 0.0935, -0.207) | (0.726, -0.0503, 0.122, 0.164, 0.11, -0.208) |
| (0.462, 0.309, 0.0553, -0.102, -0.0844, 0.0917) | (0.292, -0.101, 0.181, -0.0591, 0.193, 0.141) |
| (0.753, 0.0532, 0.191, -0.114, -0.146, 0.139) | (0.409, -0.0732, 0.0657, -0.127, 0.252, 0.178) |
| (0.68, 0.266, -0.154, 0.0323, 0.0897, -0.0735) | (0.136, 0.0428, 0.0417, 0.0404, 0.0964, 0.211) |

A complex example for $V(I)$ and $I(V(I))$

$I = \langle x_1^2 + x_2 + x_3 + 1, x_1 + x_2^2 + x_3 + 1, x_1 + x_2 + x_3^2 + 1 \rangle$, 7 solutions, 1 real solution (mult. 2)

order t	rank sequence $M_s^{\mathbb{C}}(y), M_s^{2\mathbb{C}}(y)$	extract. order MON/SVD	accuracy MON/SVD	comm. error MON/SVD
3	(1 4 7 7), (1 7 7 7)	3(3)/3(3)	0.0005719/0.00022538	0.00041241/0.00043871

Quotient basis: $\mathcal{B} = \{1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3\} \rightsquigarrow$ border basis G of size 10

Complex solutions (with monomial basis \mathcal{B})

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
 x_1 = (-1 - 8.15e-11i, -1 + 4.37e-11i, -1 - 4.24e-12i) & \approx (-1, -1, -1) \\
 x_2 = (-1.16e-5 + 1.41i, 0.999 - 1.41i, 0.000654 + 1.41i) & \approx (\sqrt{2}i, 1 - \sqrt{2}i, \sqrt{2}i) \\
 x_3 = (-4.8e-5 - 1.41i, 1 + 1.41i, 0.000147 - 1.41i) & \approx (-\sqrt{2}i, 1 + \sqrt{2}i, -\sqrt{2}i) \\
 x_4 = (-9.92e-7 + 1.41i, 0.000713 + 1.41i, 0.999 - 1.41i) & \approx (\sqrt{2}i, \sqrt{2}i, 1 - \sqrt{2}i) \\
 x_5 = (-3.98e-5 - 1.41i, 0.000146 - 1.41i, 1 + 1.41i) & \approx (-\sqrt{2}i, -\sqrt{2}i, 1 + \sqrt{2}i) \\
 x_6 = (1 + 1.41i, -0.000149 - 1.41i, -0.000145 - 1.41i) & \approx (1 + \sqrt{2}i, -\sqrt{2}i, -\sqrt{2}i) \\
 x_7 = (1 - 1.41i, 0.000103 + 1.41i, 0.000104 + 1.41i) & \approx (1 - \sqrt{2}i, \sqrt{2}i, \sqrt{2}i)
 \end{array} \right.$$

complex example continued

Complex solutions (with SVD quotient basis):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x_1 = (-1 - 2.51e-11i, -1 - 9.85e-11i, -1 - 5.99e-11i) & \approx (-1, -1, -1) \\ x_2 = (-1.73e-5 + 1.41i, 1 - 1.41i, -1.29e-5 + 1.41i) & \approx (\sqrt{2}i, 1 - \sqrt{2}i, \sqrt{2}i) \\ x_3 = (-3.78e-5 - 1.41i, 1 + 1.41i, -8.52e-5 - 1.41i) & \approx (-\sqrt{2}i, 1 + \sqrt{2}i, -\sqrt{2}i) \\ x_4 = (-2.83e-5 + 1.41i, 4.23e-5 + 1.41i, 1 - 1.41i) & \approx (\sqrt{2}i, \sqrt{2}i, 1 - \sqrt{2}i) \\ x_5 = (-4e-5 - 1.41i, 3.88e-5 - 1.41i, 1 + 1.41i) & \approx (-\sqrt{2}i, -\sqrt{2}i, 1 + \sqrt{2}i) \\ x_6 = (1 + 1.41i, -0.000191 - 1.41i, 6.2e-5 - 1.41i) & \approx (1 + \sqrt{2}i, -\sqrt{2}i, -\sqrt{2}i) \\ x_7 = (1 - 1.41i, -4.61e-5 + 1.41i, 0.000104 + 1.41i) & \approx (1 - \sqrt{2}i, \sqrt{2}i, \sqrt{2}i) \end{array} \right.$$