

METRIZABILITY OF THE SPACE OF \mathbb{R} -PLACES OF A REAL FUNCTION FIELD

MICHAŁ MACHURA, MURRAY MARSHALL, KATARZYNA OSIĄK

ABSTRACT. For $n = 1$, the space of \mathbb{R} -places of the rational function field $\mathbb{R}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is homeomorphic to the real projective line. For $n \geq 2$, the structure is much more complicated. We prove that the space of \mathbb{R} -places of the rational function field $\mathbb{R}(x, y)$ is not metrizable. We explain how the proof generalizes to show that the space of \mathbb{R} -places of any finitely generated formally real field extension of \mathbb{R} of transcendence degree ≥ 2 is not metrizable. We also consider the more general question of when the space of \mathbb{R} -places of a finitely generated formally real field extension of a real closed field is metrizable.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a formally real field F , let X_F denote the space of orderings of F , M_F the space of \mathbb{R} -places of F , i.e., places $\xi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, and let

$$\lambda : X_F \rightarrow M_F$$

be the natural map. We refer the reader to [2], [3], [11] or [13] for basic terminology and basic results. X_F is a Boolean space. M_F is compact and Hausdorff. λ is continuous and surjective. The topology on M_F is the quotient topology.

We are interested in function fields of real algebraic varieties, i.e., finitely generated formally real field extensions of \mathbb{R} . The rational function field $\mathbb{R}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $n \geq 0$ is an important special case. It is well-known that the complexity of the space of orderings and the space of \mathbb{R} -places of $\mathbb{R}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ increases rapidly as n increases, e.g., see [1], [9] or [16]. $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a singleton set. $M_{\mathbb{R}(x)}$ is the real projective line. The structure is already complicated when $n = 2$. We prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *For any uncountable real closed field R , the space of \mathbb{R} -places of the rational function field $R(x, y)$ is not metrizable.*

We are mainly interested in the case $R = \mathbb{R}$, but the proof is no easier in this case. A version of Theorem 1.1 appears already in the e-print [12] by the first author and the third author, but there is a gap in the proof. The proof given here fills the gap and, at the same time, it is shorter and more direct. We also prove certain variations and extensions of Theorem 1.1; see Section 3. In doing this, we are motivated in part by the following question:

Date: May 8, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 12D15, 14P05.

Key words and phrases. Formally real fields, real places, space of real places, metrizable.

The research of the second author was funded in part by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

The research of the third author was funded in part by the Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel.

Question 1.2. Given a finitely generated formally real field extension F of a real closed field R , when is M_F metrizable?

We settle this question completely in the case $\text{trdeg}(F : R) \geq 2$. Our results in Section 3 show that, in this case, M_F is metrizable iff R is countable. The case $\text{trdeg}(F : R) = 1$ is not yet fully understood: If R is Archimedean, e.g., if $R = \mathbb{R}$, results in [4] imply that M_F is metrizable. If R is non-Archimedean this is not true in general, even in the case where F is purely transcendental over R , i.e., $F = R(x)$; see Theorem 3.5. This latter case is investigated in more detail in [10, Section 4].

It is known that a compact Hausdorff space is metrizable iff it is second countable. The non-trivial implication (\Leftarrow) is a consequence of Urysohn's Metrization Theorem [7, p. 125]. We will also use the obvious fact that a topological space of uncountable cellularity cannot be second countable.

Orderings on a formally real field F can be viewed as order relations ($<$) or, equivalently, as cones of positivity (P). The so-called Harrison sets

$$H(a) := \{P \in X_F \mid a \in P\}, \quad a \in F^*$$

are clopen (closed and open) and form a subbasis for the topology on X_F .

We recall the definition of the map $\lambda : X_F \rightarrow M_F$. For $P \in X_F$,

$$A(P) := \{a \in F \mid n + a, n - a \in P \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$$

is a valuation ring of F with maximal ideal

$$I(P) := \{a \in F \mid \frac{1}{n} - a, \frac{1}{n} + a \in P \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

The ordering induced by P on the residue field $A(P)/I(P)$ is Archimedean, so there is an order preserving embedding $A(P)/I(P) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The place $\lambda(P) : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is defined by composition, in the obvious way.

The real holomorphy ring of F is $H_F := \bigcap_{P \in X_F} A(P)$. According to [11, Th. 9.11], the sets

$$U(a) := \{\xi \in M_F \mid \xi(a) > 0\}, \quad a \in H_F$$

form a subbasis for the topology on M_F .¹

Corollary 1.3. *If the field F is countable then the topological space M_F is second countable, so it is metrizable.*

The fibers of the map $\lambda : X_F \rightarrow M_F$ are described by the Baer-Krull Theorem; see [8, II, Sect. 7], [13, Sect. 1.3] or [14, Sect. 1.5]. If F is a finitely generated formally real field extension of a real closed field R , then each fiber $\lambda^{-1}(\xi)$, $\xi \in M_F$ satisfies

$$|\lambda^{-1}(\xi)| = 2^{k_\xi}$$

for some integer k_ξ in the interval $[0, d]$, where d is the transcendence degree of F over R . See [13, Sect. 3.5 and 3.6] for the proof and for related results on fans and the stability index due to L. Bröcker.

Suppose now that $R = \mathbb{R}$, i.e., that F is a finitely generated formally real field extension of \mathbb{R} . If $\text{trdeg}(F : \mathbb{R}) = 1$ then there is a unique non-singular projective model of F , say V , and the center map $M_F \rightarrow V(\mathbb{R})$ is a homeomorphism. If

¹Combining the version of the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem formulated in [11, Th. 9.12] with Urysohn's Lemma, it is possible to show that these sets form a basis for the topology.

$\text{trdeg}(F : \mathbb{R}) \geq 2$ then Hironaka's results on resolution of singularities imply that M_F is expressible as an inverse limit

$$M_F = \varprojlim_V V(\mathbb{R}),$$

V running through non-singular projective models of F ; see [3, Th. 3.5] or [15, Corollary, page 439]. As explained in [15], a certain relative version of this result remains valid for $R \neq \mathbb{R}$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $F = R(x, y)$. Let $X := X_F$, $Y :=$ the closed subspace of X defined by $0 < x < r \forall$ positive $r \in R$, i.e.,

$$Y := \bigcap_{r \in R^+} H(r - x) \cap H(x).$$

For arbitrary $r \in R$, define U_r to be the open set in Y defined by $-ax < y - r < ax$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$, i.e.,

$$U_r = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{N}^*} [H(ax - (y - r)) \cap H(ax + (y - r))] \cap Y.$$

Claim 1. $U_r \neq \emptyset$. This is clear. E.g., take the restriction to F of an ordering on the iterated formal power series field $R((x))((y - r))$ making x positive (by Baer-Krull, there are two such orderings).

Claim 2. $U_r \cap U_s = \emptyset$ if $r \neq s$. For suppose $<$ is an ordering in $U_r \cap U_s$. Then $|y - r| < ax$, $|y - s| < bx$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then $|r - s| \leq |y - r| + |y - s| < (a + b)x$. Since $x < t$ for each positive $t \in R$, this forces $r = s$.

Claim 3. If $<$ and $<'$ are orderings in Y which have the same associated \mathbb{R} -place and $<$ belongs to U_r then so does $<'$. Since $<$ belongs to U_r , $-a < \frac{y-r}{x} < a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$, i.e., $\frac{y-r}{x}$ lies in the valuation ring of F corresponding to $<$. Since $<$ and $<'$ have the same \mathbb{R} -place, this valuation ring is the same as the valuation ring of F corresponding to $<'$, i.e., $-b < \frac{y-r}{x} < b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{N}^*$, so $<'$ also belongs to U_r .

Let $M := M_F$ and let $\lambda : X \rightarrow M$ be the standard map. Take $N := \lambda(Y)$. Since Y is compact, N is Hausdorff and λ is continuous, the restriction $\lambda : Y \rightarrow N$ is closed, and the topology on N coincides with the quotient topology [7, Th. 8, p. 95], i.e., for any subset V of N , V is open in N iff $\lambda^{-1}(V) \cap Y$ is open in Y .

We show that N has cellularity $\geq |R|$, i.e., that there exists a family of non-empty pairwise disjoint open sets $\{V_r\}_{r \in R}$, in N . This is a consequence of Claims 1, 2 and 3. For $r \in R$, let $V_r := \lambda(U_r)$. By Claim 3, $\lambda^{-1}(V_r) \cap Y = U_r$ and U_r is open in Y so V_r is open in N . By Claims 1 and 2, $V_r \neq \emptyset$ for each r and $V_r \cap V_s = \emptyset$ for $r \neq s$. It follows that N is not second countable. Since N is compact, this implies in turn that N is not metrizable, so M is not metrizable. \square

Remark 2.1. Let S denote the real closure of $R(x)$ at the unique ordering satisfying $0 < x < r$ for all $r \in R^+$. The restriction map $X_{S(y)} \rightarrow X_{R(x,y)}$ induced by the inclusion $R(x, y) \hookrightarrow S(y)$ is injective and identifies $X_{S(y)}$ with Y [5, Lemma 8]. The subspace N of $M_{R(x,y)}$ is the image of $M_{S(y)}$ under the restriction map $M_{S(y)} \rightarrow M_{R(x,y)}$, but this map is *not* injective. E.g., the \mathbb{R} -places given by $y/\sqrt{x} \mapsto \pm 1$ both restrict to the same \mathbb{R} -place on $R(x, y)$. Consequently, the argument in [12, Cor. 4.11] is incorrect.

3. VARIATIONS AND EXTENSIONS OF THEOREM 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 given above does not require $F = R(x, y)$, but only that $x, y \in F$, $R \subseteq F$ and $U_r \neq \emptyset$ for uncountably many $r \in R$. Here X, Y and U_r are assumed to be defined as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Using this observation, one sees that the argument carries over to other situations as well. For example, we have the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $R(t, u) \subseteq F \subseteq S((t, u))$ where R is an uncountable real closed field and S is a real closed extension of R . Here $S((t, u))$ denotes the formal power series field in two variables t, u with coefficients in S , i.e., the field of fractions of the formal power series ring $S[[t, u]]$. Then M_F is not metrizable.*

Proof. Take $x = t$, $y = \frac{u}{t}$. Define X, Y, U_r as in the previous section. It suffices to show that $U_r \neq \emptyset$ for each $r \in R$. For this it suffices to show the existence of an ordering on $S((t, u))$ satisfying $0 < x$ and $-ax < y - r < ax$, i.e., $0 < t$ and $-at^2 < u - rt < at^2$, for some $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since $S[[t, u]] = S[[t, u - rt]]$, it follows that $S((t, u)) \subseteq S((t))(u - rt)$. Take $<$ to be the restriction to $S((t, u))$ of one of the two orderings on $S((t))(u - rt)$ making $t > 0$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *For any uncountable real closed field R , the space of \mathbb{R} -places of the formal power series field $R((x, y))$ is not metrizable.*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose R is an uncountable real closed field and F is a finitely generated formally real field extension of R of transcendence degree ≥ 2 . Then M_F is not metrizable.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{trdeg}(F : R) = d$. Viewing F as the function field of a real algebraic variety V over R and going to the completion of the coordinate ring of V at some fixed real non-singular point of V , we see that $F \subseteq R((x_1, \dots, x_d))$ where x_1, \dots, x_d are elements in the coordinate ring which form a system of uniformizing parameters at the fixed non-singular point, e.g., see [14, Th. 12.2.2 and Th. 12.6.1]. Applying Theorem 3.1, with $t = x_1, u = x_2$, and $S :=$ the real closure of $R((x_3, \dots, x_d))$ at some fixed ordering of $R((x_3, \dots, x_d))$, we see that M_F is not metrizable. \square

The hypothesis in Corollary 3.3 is necessary: If R is countable then F is countable so, by Corollary 1.3, M_F is metrizable. If R is Archimedean and the transcendence degree of F over R is 1, then M_F is the disjoint union of finitely many simple closed curves [4, Th. 2.1], so M_F is also metrizable in this case.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose R is an Archimedean real closed field and F is a finitely generated formally real field extension of R . Then M_F is metrizable iff either R is countable or $\text{trdeg}(F : R) \leq 1$.*

In contrast to this, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.5. *If S is a proper real closed extension of \mathbb{R} then the space of \mathbb{R} -places of the rational function field $S(y)$ is not metrizable.*

Proof. Fix an element $x \in S \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Replacing x by $\pm \frac{1}{x}$ or $\pm(x - a)$ for suitable $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we may assume $0 < x < \frac{1}{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Define X, Y, U_r as in the previous section, where $R := \mathbb{R}$, $F := S(y)$. (Note that $Y = X$.) By considering the restriction to F of any ordering of $S((y - r))$ (there are two such orderings, by Baer-Krull), we see that $U_r \neq \emptyset$ for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Remark 3.6. The map $\lambda : X_{R(x)} \rightarrow M_{R(x)}$, where R is an arbitrary real closed field, is described in [16]. Orderings of $R(x)$ are identified with cuts of R in the standard way [5], [6], [9], [16]. Cuts of R are represented by cut symbols [16, Prop. 3.2.2]. The fibers of λ are determined by applying [16, Th. 4.3.2] in the case $n = 1$. The fibers consist of either a single ordering, corresponding to a single cut symbol (Q, p) , or a pair of orderings, corresponding to a pair of cut symbols $(Q, p, +)$, $(Q, p, -)$. The fibers are also described in [12], but there are mistakes in [12]. Corollary 4.5, Proposition 4.6 and Corollary 4.7 of [12] are incorrect.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.E. Alonso, J.M. Gamboa, J.M. Ruiz, On orderings in real surfaces, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **36** (1985) 1–14.
- [2] E. Becker, Valuations and real places in the theory of formally real fields, in *Géométrie Algébrique Réelle et Formes Quadratiques*, Proceedings of Conference in Rennes, *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* **959** (1982) 1–40.
- [3] E. Becker, D. Gondard, Notes on the space of real places of a formally real field, in *Real Analytic and Algebraic Geometry*, Trento Conference, 1992, *Walter de Gruyter* (1995) 21–46.
- [4] R. Brown, Real-valued places on the function field of an algebraic curve, *Houston J. Math.* **6** (1980) 227–243.
- [5] T. Craven, The topological space of orderings of a rational function field, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974) 339–347.
- [6] R. Gilmer, Extension of an order to a simple transcendental extension, *Contemp. Math.* **8** (1982) 113–118.
- [7] J. Kelley, *General Topology*, *Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics* (1955).
- [8] M. Knebusch, C. Scheiderer, Einführung in die reelle Algebra, *Vieweg Studium: Aufbaukurs Mathematik* **63** (1989).
- [9] F.-V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, M. Zekavat, Embedding ordered fields in formal power series fields, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **169** (2002) 71–90.
- [10] F.-V. Kuhlmann, M. Machura, K. Osiak, Spaces of \mathbb{R} -places of function fields over real closed fields, preprint (2009).
- [11] T.-Y. Lam, Orderings, valuations and quadratic forms, *CBMS Regional Conf. Series in Math.* **52** AMS (1983).
- [12] M. Machura, K. Osiak, Spaces of \mathbb{R} -places of rational function fields, arXiv:0803.0676 (March 2008).
- [13] M. Marshall, Spaces of orderings and abstract real spectra, *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* **1636** (1996).
- [14] M. Marshall, Positive polynomials and sums of squares, *AMS Surveys and Monographs* **146** (2008).
- [15] H.-W. Schülting, Real holomorphy rings in real algebraic geometry, in *Géométrie Algébrique Réelle et Formes Quadratiques*, Proceedings of Conference in Rennes, *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* **959** (1982) 433–442.
- [16] M. Zekavat, Orderings, cuts and formal power series, *Doctoral Thesis, University of Saskatchewan* (2000) <http://library2.usask.ca/theses/>.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY, BANKOWA 14, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND
E-mail address: machura@math.us.edu.pl

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN,
 SASKATOON, SK S7N 5E6, CANADA
E-mail address: marshall@math.usask.ca

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY, BANKOWA 14, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEERSHEBA, ISRAEL
E-mail address: kosiak@math.us.edu.pl