

On the semigroup algebra of binary relations*

MURRAY R. BREMNER[†]

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada

MOHAMED EL BACHRAOUI

School of Science and Engineering
Al-Akhawayn University, Ifrane, Morocco

Current address:

Department of Mathematical Sciences
United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, UAE

Preprint (30 September 2007)

Abstract

The semigroup of binary relations on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with the relative product is isomorphic to the semigroup B_n of $n \times n$ matrices over $\{0, 1\}$ with the Boolean matrix product. Over any field F , we prove that there is an ideal K_n in FB_n of dimension $(2^n - 1)^2$, and we construct an explicit isomorphism of K_n with the matrix algebra $M_{2^n - 1}(F)$.

Let $Z_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ ($n \geq 1$); then $P(Z_n^2)$, the power set of the ordered pairs, is the set of binary relations on Z_n . For $X, Y \in P(Z_n^2)$ the relative product is $XY = \{(i, k) \mid \exists j \in Z_n \text{ with } (i, j) \in X \text{ and } (j, k) \in Y\}$. We identify $X \in P(Z_n^2)$ with the Boolean matrix $X = (x_{ij})$ where $x_{ij} = 1$ if $(i, j) \in X$ and $x_{ij} = 0$ if $(i, j) \notin X$; the relative product coincides with the Boolean matrix product.

Definition 1. The set B_n of all $X = (x_{ij})$ with the Boolean matrix product is the **semigroup of Boolean matrices**. Over any field F , the vector space FB_n with the bilinear extension of the Boolean matrix product is the **semigroup algebra of Boolean matrices**.

Clearly $|B_n| = 2^{n^2}$. The subset of permutation matrices in B_n is a subgroup isomorphic to the symmetric group S_n . For the theory of B_n as an abstract semigroup see Schwarz [5]. Recent monographs on related topics are Maddux [4] and Jespers and Okniński [2].

Kim and Roush [3] studied linear representations of B_n , and showed that the ideal K_n (Definition 7 below) is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_{2^n - 1}(F)$ (Corollary 21 below)

*2000 MSC: Primary 20M25; Secondary 03G15, 16S36, 20M30.

[†]Corresponding author. Email: bremner@math.usask.ca

without however giving an explicit isomorphism. The purpose of the present paper is to provide an explicit isomorphism by constructing standard matrix units in K_n .

Definition 2. We write O for the Boolean zero matrix and J for the Boolean matrix in which every entry is 1.

Definition 3. The **row matrix** $R(P)$ of $P \subseteq Z_n$ is the Boolean matrix with $R(P)_{ij} = 1$ if $i \in P$ and $R(P)_{ij} = 0$ if $i \notin P$. The **column matrix** $C(Q)$ of $Q \subseteq Z_n$ is the Boolean matrix with $C(Q)_{ij} = 1$ if $j \in Q$ and $C(Q)_{ij} = 0$ if $j \notin Q$. The **rectangle** of $P, Q \subseteq Z_n$ is the Boolean matrix $\square(P, Q) = R(P)C(Q)$. (The term ‘‘rectangle’’ comes from relation algebras; see Maddux [4]. Rectangles are called ‘‘cross-vectors’’ by Kim and Roush [3].)

Lemma 4. We have $R(P) = O$ (respectively $C(Q) = O$) if and only if $P = \emptyset$ (respectively $Q = \emptyset$), and $\square(P, Q) = O$ if and only if either $P = \emptyset$ or $Q = \emptyset$. Hence there are $2^n - 1$ distinct nonzero row (column) matrices, and $(2^n - 1)^2$ distinct nonzero rectangles.

Proof. Obvious. □

Lemma 5. If $X, Y \in B_n$ and $P, Q \subseteq Z_n$ then $XR(P) = R(U)$ and $C(Q)Y = C(V)$ where

$$k \in U \iff \sum_{j \in P} x_{kj} = 1, \quad \ell \in V \iff \sum_{i \in Q} y_{i\ell} = 1 \quad (\text{Boolean sums}).$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Lemma 6. The elements $\square(P, Q) - O$ with $\square(P, Q) \neq O$ (equivalently, $P \neq \emptyset$ and $Q \neq \emptyset$) form a basis of an ideal in FB_n .

Proof. For $X, Y \in B_n$ we have $X(\square(P, Q) - O)Y = XR(P)C(Q)Y - XOY = R(U)C(V) - O = \square(U, V) - O$ with U, V as in Lemma 5. Replacing X and Y by linear combinations of Boolean matrices, we see that the elements $\square(P, Q) - O$ span an ideal in FB_n . Distinct ordered pairs (P, Q) with $P \neq \emptyset$ and $Q \neq \emptyset$ give distinct nonzero rectangles $\square(P, Q)$ in B_n , and distinct nonzero rectangles are linearly independent in FB_n ; hence the elements $\square(P, Q) - O$ with $\square(P, Q) \neq O$ form a basis of this ideal. □

Definition 7. We write K_n for the ideal of FB_n with the basis of Lemma 6.

Lemma 8. We have $C(Q)R(P) = O$ if $P \cap Q = \emptyset$ and $C(Q)R(P) = J$ if $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Straightforward. □

Lemma 9. We have $XO = OX = O$ for $X \in B_n$. We have $R(P)J = R(P)$ and $JC(Q) = C(Q)$ for $P, Q \subseteq Z_n$.

Proof. Obvious. □

Definition 10. We fix an ordering P_1, \dots, P_{2^n-1} of the nonempty subsets of Z_n . For $i, j = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ we define $R_{ij} = \square(P_i, P_j)$ and $\epsilon_{ij} = 0 \in F$ if $P_i \cap P_j = \emptyset$, $\epsilon_{ij} = 1 \in F$ if $P_i \cap P_j \neq \emptyset$. We write \mathcal{E} for the matrix whose ij -entry is ϵ_{ij} .

Remark 11. We regard \mathcal{E} as a matrix over F since we will need \mathcal{E}^{-1} in Theorem 20. (As a Boolean matrix \mathcal{E} represents the intersection relation on the nonempty subsets of Z_n .)

Lemma 12. We have $R_{ij}R_{kl} = (1-\epsilon_{jk})O + \epsilon_{jk}R_{il}$ for any $i, j, k, \ell = 1, \dots, 2^n-1$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij}R_{kl} &= \square(P_i, P_j)\square(P_k, P_\ell) = R(P_i)C(P_j)R(P_k)C(P_\ell) = R(P_i)[(1-\epsilon_{jk})O + \epsilon_{jk}J]C(P_\ell) \\ &= (1-\epsilon_{jk})R(P_i)OC(P_\ell) + \epsilon_{jk}R(P_i)JC(P_\ell) = (1-\epsilon_{jk})O + \epsilon_{jk}R(P_i)C(P_\ell) \\ &= (1-\epsilon_{jk})O + \epsilon_{jk}\square(P_i, P_\ell) = (1-\epsilon_{jk})O + \epsilon_{jk}R_{il}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Definition 13. We set $X_{ij} = R_{ij} - O$ for any $i, j = 1, \dots, 2^n-1$.

Lemma 14. We have $X_{ij}X_{kl} = \epsilon_{jk}X_{il}$ for any $i, j, k, \ell = 1, \dots, 2^n-1$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ij}X_{kl} &= (R_{ij} - O)(R_{kl} - O) = R_{ij}R_{kl} - R_{ij}O - OR_{kl} + O^2 = R_{ij}R_{kl} - O - O + O \\ &= R_{ij}R_{kl} - O = (1-\epsilon_{jk})O + \epsilon_{jk}R_{il} - O = -\epsilon_{jk}O + \epsilon_{jk}R_{il} = \epsilon_{jk}(R_{il} - O) = \epsilon_{jk}X_{il}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Remark 15. The elements X_{ij} are very similar to the standard matrix units. This is analogous to the natural representation of the symmetric group; see Clifton [1].

Lemma 16. For fixed ℓ , the elements $X_{k\ell}$ ($k = 1, \dots, 2^n-1$) form a basis of a left ideal in K_n of dimension 2^n-1 . For fixed i , the elements X_{ij} ($j = 1, \dots, 2^n-1$) form a basis of a right ideal in K_n of dimension 2^n-1 .

Proof. Immediate. \square

Definition 17. We set $\bar{\epsilon}_{ij} = 0$ if $P_i \cup P_j \neq Z_n$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_{ij} = -(-1)^{n+|P_i|+|P_j|}$ if $P_i \cup P_j = Z_n$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, 2^n-1$.

Lemma 18. The matrix \mathcal{E} is invertible over F : we have $\mathcal{E}^{-1} = (\bar{\epsilon}_{ij})$.

Proof. The ij -entry of the matrix product $(\epsilon_{ik})(\bar{\epsilon}_{kj})$ is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} \epsilon_{ik}\bar{\epsilon}_{kj} = -(-1)^{n+|P_j|} \sum_{P_i \cap P_k \neq \emptyset, P_k \cup P_j = Z_n} (-1)^{|P_k|}$$

We must show that this reduces to δ_{ij} .

Case 1: Suppose $P_i = P_j$. Then $P_k \cup P_j = Z_n$ implies $\bar{P}_i \subseteq P_k$, and $P_i \cap P_k \neq \emptyset$ implies $P_k = \bar{P}_i \cup Q$ for $\emptyset \neq Q \subseteq P_i$. The sum reduces to

$$-(-1)^{n+|P_i|} \sum_{\emptyset \neq Q \subseteq P_i} (-1)^{|\bar{P}_i|+|Q|} = -(-1)^{n+|P_i|} \sum_{\emptyset \neq Q \subseteq P_i} (-1)^{n-|P_i|+|Q|} = - \sum_{\emptyset \neq Q \subseteq P_i} (-1)^{|Q|}$$

$$= - \sum_{\ell=1}^{|P_i|} (-1)^\ell \binom{|P_i|}{\ell} = -(-1) = 1,$$

since $|P_i| \neq 0$.

Case 2: Suppose $P_i \setminus P_j \neq \emptyset$. From $P_k \cup P_j = Z_n$ we get $\overline{P_j} \subseteq P_k$, and this implies $P_i \cap P_k \neq \emptyset$. Thus $P_k = \overline{P_j} \cup Q$ for $Q \subseteq P_j$ (Q may be empty). The sum reduces to

$$-(-1)^{n+|P_j|} \sum_{Q \subseteq P_j} (-1)^{|\overline{P_j}|+|Q|} = - \sum_{Q \subseteq P_j} (-1)^{|Q|} = - \sum_{\ell=0}^{|P_j|} (-1)^\ell \binom{|P_j|}{\ell} = 0,$$

since $|P_j| \neq 0$. (The same argument applies if $P_j \setminus P_i \neq \emptyset$. Since P_i and P_j are nonempty, this includes the case that $P_i \cap P_j = \emptyset$.)

Case 3: Suppose $P_i \subset P_j$ ($P_i \neq P_j$). As before $\overline{P_j} \subseteq P_k$ and so $P_k = \overline{P_j} \cup Q \cup R$ (disjoint union) where $Q \subseteq P_j \setminus P_i$ (Q may be empty) and $\emptyset \neq R \subseteq P_i$ ($R = P_i \cap P_k$). The sum reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & -(-1)^{n+|P_j|} \sum_{Q \subseteq P_j \setminus P_i} \sum_{\emptyset \neq R \subseteq P_i} (-1)^{|\overline{P_j}|+|Q|+|R|} = - \sum_{Q \subseteq P_j \setminus P_i} \sum_{\emptyset \neq R \subseteq P_i} (-1)^{|Q|+|R|} \\ & = - \sum_{\emptyset \neq R \subseteq P_i} (-1)^{|R|} \sum_{Q \subseteq P_j \setminus P_i} (-1)^{|Q|} = - \sum_{\emptyset \neq R \subseteq P_i} (-1)^{|R|} \sum_{\ell=0}^{|P_j \setminus P_i|} (-1)^\ell \binom{|P_j \setminus P_i|}{\ell} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $|P_j \setminus P_i| \neq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 19. For $p, q = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ we define $(2^n - 1) \times (2^n - 1)$ matrices by $(a_{ij}^{pq}) = E_{pq} \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ where E_{pq} is the matrix unit; thus $a_{ij}^{pq} = \delta_{pi} \bar{\epsilon}_{qj}$. We define elements of K_n by

$$Y_{pq} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} a_{ij}^{pq} X_{ij} \quad (p, q = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1).$$

Theorem 20. *The elements Y_{pq} satisfy the matrix unit equations $Y_{pq} Y_{rs} = \delta_{qr} Y_{ps}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{pq} Y_{rs} &= \left(\sum_i \sum_j a_{ij}^{pq} X_{ij} \right) \left(\sum_k \sum_\ell a_{k\ell}^{rs} X_{k\ell} \right) = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_\ell a_{ij}^{pq} a_{k\ell}^{rs} X_{ij} X_{k\ell} \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_\ell a_{ij}^{pq} a_{k\ell}^{rs} \epsilon_{jk} X_{i\ell} = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_\ell \delta_{pi} \bar{\epsilon}_{qj} \delta_{rk} \bar{\epsilon}_{s\ell} \epsilon_{jk} X_{i\ell} \\ &= \sum_i \sum_k \sum_\ell \delta_{pi} \left(\sum_j \bar{\epsilon}_{qj} \epsilon_{jk} \right) \delta_{rk} \bar{\epsilon}_{s\ell} X_{i\ell} = \sum_i \sum_k \sum_\ell \delta_{pi} \delta_{qk} \delta_{rk} \bar{\epsilon}_{s\ell} X_{i\ell} \\ &= \sum_i \sum_\ell \delta_{pi} \left(\sum_k \delta_{qk} \delta_{rk} \right) \bar{\epsilon}_{s\ell} X_{i\ell} = \sum_i \sum_\ell \delta_{pi} \delta_{qr} \bar{\epsilon}_{s\ell} X_{i\ell} \\ &= \delta_{qr} \sum_i \sum_\ell \delta_{pi} \bar{\epsilon}_{s\ell} X_{i\ell} = \delta_{qr} \sum_i \sum_\ell a_{i\ell}^{ps} X_{i\ell} = \delta_{qr} Y_{ps}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Corollary 21. *The ideal K_n is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_{2^n-1}(F)$. (In particular, the elements Y_{pq} are linearly independent over F .)*

Proof. Immediate. □

Corollary 22. *The element of K_n corresponding to the identity matrix is*

$$I_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \bar{\epsilon}_{ij} X_{ij}.$$

Proof. The diagonal matrix units Y_{pp} have coefficients $E_{pp}\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ and their sum is \mathcal{E}^{-1} . □

Example 23. For $n = 2$, the algebra FB_2 has dimension 16 and the ideal $K_2 \cong M_3(F)$ has dimension 9. We fix this ordering of the subsets: $\{1\}$, $\{2\}$, $\{1, 2\}$; then

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{E}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The ideal K_n has these matrix units:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{11} &= - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & Y_{12} &= - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & Y_{13} &= - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ Y_{21} &= - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & Y_{22} &= - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & Y_{23} &= - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ Y_{31} &= - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & Y_{32} &= - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & Y_{33} &= - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Example 24. If $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then FB_2 is semisimple and decomposes as an orthogonal direct sum of full matrix algebras: $FB_2 \cong M_3(F) \oplus M_2(F) \oplus F \oplus F \oplus F$. The 2×2 summand has these matrix units:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & D_{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ D_{21} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & D_{22} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The three copies of F have the following basis elements:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & B &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ C &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Example 25. If $\text{char } F = 2$ then FB_2 is not semisimple. Since the coefficients of the basis elements A , D_{ij} , Y_{ij} in Examples 23 and 24 are ± 1 , the products of these elements will satisfy the same matrix unit relations in characteristic 2. Thus FB_2 still has a semisimple

subalgebra isomorphic to $M_3(F) \oplus M_2(F) \oplus F$. However, in place of B and C we must take the basis elements

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $B^2 = B$ we have $(2B)^2 = 2(2B)$ and so $(B')^2 = 0$ in characteristic 2, and similarly for C ; and we still have $BC = CB = 0$. It follows that when $\text{char } F = 2$ the radical of FB_2 has dimension 2 and basis $\{B', C'\}$.

Remark 26. The most important open problem is to generalize Examples 24 and 25 to $n \geq 3$: that is, to determine the complete decomposition of FB_n in all characteristics.

Acknowledgements. Murray Bremner thanks NSERC for financial support, the School of Science and Engineering at Al-Akhawayn University in Ifrane (Morocco) for its hospitality during his visit in May 2007, and Shaun Fallat for a helpful remark about the matrix \mathcal{E} .

References

- [1] J. M. Clifton, *A simplification of the computation of the natural representation of the symmetric group S_n* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1981) 248–250.
- [2] E. Jespers and J. Okniński, *Noetherian semigroup algebras*, ix+361 pages, Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
- [3] K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush, *Linear representations of semigroups of Boolean matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1977) 203–207.
- [4] R. D. Maddux, *Relation algebras*, xxvi+731 pages, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [5] S. Schwarz, *On the semigroup of binary relations on a finite set*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 20 (1970) 632–679.