

THE FUNDAMENTAL INVARIANTS OF $3 \times 3 \times 3$ ARRAYS

MURRAY R. BREMNER AND JIAXIONG HU

ABSTRACT. We use computer algebra to determine explicitly the three fundamental invariants of a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ array over \mathbb{C} as polynomials in the 27 variables x_{ijk} for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3$. By the work of Vinberg, it is known that these invariants have degrees 6, 9 and 12; they freely generate the algebra of invariants for $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})^3$ acting irreducibly on the tensor cube $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes 3}$ of the natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$. We find expressions for these invariants in terms of the orbits of the symmetry group $(S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes S_3$ acting on monomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider $3 \times 3 \times 3$ arrays X over the complex numbers:

$$X = (x_{ijk}), \quad x_{ijk} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3.$$

Following Bremner et al. [2, §6], we use a computational approach, based on the representation theory of the special linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$, to determine the invariant polynomials as elements in the nullspace of a large integer matrix.

Vinberg [12] generalized the notion of Weyl group from Lie groups to θ -groups, by which is meant the group of fixed points of an automorphism of finite order of a complex semisimple Lie group. He showed that these generalized Weyl groups are generated by complex reflections, and obtained the corollary that the algebra of invariants is a polynomial algebra. A general computational framework for studying θ -groups has been developed recently by de Graaf [4].

Vinberg embedded the direct sum of three copies of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ into an exceptional Lie algebra of type E_6 , and deduced that the algebra of invariants for the natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})^3$ is freely generated by polynomials in degrees 6, 9 and 12. Nurmiev [11] obtained an implicit description of these generators in terms of convolutions of volume forms. An approach using classical invariant theory has been given by Briand et al. [3]. For an application of these invariants to quantum information theory, see Duff and Ferrara [5].

In this paper we obtain explicit expressions for the fundamental invariants in degrees 6, 9 and 12 for the algebra of invariants of the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})^3$ acting irreducibly on its natural representation, the tensor cube $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes 3}$ of the natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$. We express these invariants in terms of the orbits of the symmetry group $(S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes S_3$ acting on monomials in the entries of a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ array. For related work on the hyperdeterminant of a $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2$ array, see Huggins et al. [7].

Date: 24 November 2011.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13A50; Secondary 15A72, 17B10.

Key words and phrases. Multidimensional arrays, invariant polynomials, Lie algebras, representation theory.

Murray Bremner was supported by a Discovery Grant from NSERC.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Unless otherwise stated, all vector spaces are over \mathbb{C} .

2.1. Polynomial functions on $3 \times 3 \times 3$ arrays. Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be the standard basis of the vector space \mathbb{C}^3 . We consider the tensor product

$$\mathbb{C}^{333} = \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3.$$

Every element of \mathbb{C}^{333} is a finite sum of elements of the form $u \otimes v \otimes w$ where $u, v, w \in \mathbb{C}^3$. A basis for \mathbb{C}^{333} consists of the 27 simple tensors

$$e_{ijk} = e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3.$$

We may therefore identify the array $X = (x_{ijk})$ with the element

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 x_{ijk} e_{ijk}, \quad x_{ijk} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We introduce variables x_{ijk} for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3$ corresponding to the entries of X ; this notation is ambiguous but should not be confusing. Strictly speaking, x_{ijk} is a coordinate function on \mathbb{C}^{333} and is therefore a dual basis vector $e_i^* \otimes e_j^* \otimes e_k^*$, but this distinction will not be important for us. We consider the polynomial algebra P in these indeterminates over \mathbb{C} :

$$P = \mathbb{C}[x_{ijk} \mid 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3].$$

A basis of P consists of the monomials

$$M(E) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^3 \prod_{k=1}^3 x_{ijk}^{e_{ijk}} = x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{ijk}^{e_{ijk}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}},$$

where $E = (e_{ijk})$ is an exponent array of non-negative integers. The homogeneous subspace P_d of degree d has a basis consisting of monomials $M(E)$ for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 e_{ijk} = d.$$

A linear operator on \mathbb{C}^3 can be identified with a 3×3 matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. The action of a triple of invertible operators (A, B, C) on a variable x_{ijk} corresponds to the action on the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{C}^3 :

$$(A, B, C) \cdot x_{ijk} = \sum_{p=1}^3 \sum_{q=1}^3 \sum_{r=1}^3 a_{pi} b_{qj} c_{rk} x_{pqr}.$$

This action extends to polynomials as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (A, B, C) \cdot f(x_{111}, \dots, x_{ijk}, \dots, x_{333}) &= \\ f((A, B, C) \cdot x_{111}, \dots, (A, B, C) \cdot x_{ijk}, \dots, (A, B, C) \cdot x_{333}). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1. The polynomial $f \in P$ is **invariant** if

$$(A, B, C) \cdot f = f \quad \text{whenever} \quad \det(A) = \det(B) = \det(C) = 1.$$

2.2. Representations of Lie algebras. The $n \times n$ complex matrices of determinant 1, with the usual operation of matrix multiplication, form the special linear group $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Finite-dimensional representations of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be studied in terms of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, which consists of all $n \times n$ complex matrices of trace 0; the bilinear product is the Lie bracket $[A, B] = AB - BA$. The standard basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ consists of

- the matrix units $U_{i,j}$ for $i \neq j$ with (i, j) entry 1 and other entries 0,
- the diagonal matrices $H_i = U_{i,i} - U_{i+1,i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

The simple root vectors are the matrix units $T_i = U_{i,i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. The natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is its irreducible action on \mathbb{C}^n .

Lemma 2.2. *In the natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have*

$$H_i \cdot e_j = \begin{cases} e_j & \text{if } j = i \\ -e_j & \text{if } j = i+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad T_i \cdot e_j = \begin{cases} e_{j-1} & \text{if } j = i+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We are concerned exclusively with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$; the diagonal matrices and the simple root vectors in the natural representation are

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *The brackets of H_i and T_j are*

$$[H_1, T_1] = 2T_1, \quad [H_1, T_2] = -T_2, \quad [H_2, T_1] = -T_1, \quad [H_2, T_2] = 2T_2.$$

We consider the action of the semisimple Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{sl}_{333}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C}),$$

on its irreducible representation \mathbb{C}^{333} , the tensor product of the natural representations of its simple summands. For $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ we write $H_1^{(\ell)}, H_2^{(\ell)}, T_1^{(\ell)}, T_2^{(\ell)}$ for the corresponding elements in the ℓ -th summand $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Combining the preceding equations we obtain the action of these elements on the indeterminates x_{ijk} .

Lemma 2.4. *For $m = 1, 2$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H_m^{(1)} \cdot x_{ijk} &= \begin{cases} x_{ijk} & \text{if } i = m \\ -x_{ijk} & \text{if } i = m+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & T_m^{(1)} \cdot x_{ijk} &= \begin{cases} x_{i-1,j,k} & \text{if } i = m+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ H_m^{(2)} \cdot x_{ijk} &= \begin{cases} x_{ijk} & \text{if } j = m \\ -x_{ijk} & \text{if } j = m+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & T_m^{(2)} \cdot x_{ijk} &= \begin{cases} x_{i,j-1,k} & \text{if } j = m+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ H_m^{(3)} \cdot x_{ijk} &= \begin{cases} x_{ijk} & \text{if } k = m \\ -x_{ijk} & \text{if } k = m+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & T_m^{(3)} \cdot x_{ijk} &= \begin{cases} x_{i,j,k-1} & \text{if } k = m+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The action of a Lie algebra L on a tensor product $V \otimes W$ of representations is given by the derivation rule:

$$A \cdot (v \otimes w) = (A \cdot v) \otimes w + v \otimes (A \cdot w), \quad A \in L, v \in V, w \in W.$$

We identify the d -th symmetric power $S^d V$ of the p -dimensional representation V with the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree d on a basis v_1, \dots, v_p of V . It follows by induction on d that the action of L on $S^d V$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot (v_1^{e_1} v_2^{e_2} \cdots v_p^{e_p}) &= \sum_{i=1}^p v_1^{e_1} \cdots (A \cdot v_i^{e_i}) \cdots v_p^{e_p} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p v_1^{e_1} \cdots (e_i v_i^{e_i-1} (A \cdot v_i)) \cdots v_p^{e_p} = \sum_{i=1}^p e_i v_1^{e_1} \cdots v_i^{e_i-1} \cdots v_p^{e_p} (A \cdot v_i). \end{aligned}$$

We apply this to $L = \mathfrak{sl}_{333}(\mathbb{C})$ and $V = \mathbb{C}^{333}$.

Lemma 2.5. *For $m = 1, 2$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H_m^{(1)} \cdot (x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}) &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 (e_{m,j,k} - e_{m+1,j,k}) x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}, \\ H_m^{(2)} \cdot (x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 (e_{i,m,k} - e_{i,m+1,k}) x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}, \\ H_m^{(3)} \cdot (x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 (e_{i,j,m} - e_{i,j,m+1}) x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6. *For $m = 1, 2$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_m^{(1)} \cdot (x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}) &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 e_{m+1,j,k} x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{m,j,k}^{e_{m,j,k}+1} \cdots x_{m+1,j,k}^{e_{m+1,j,k}-1} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}, \\ T_m^{(2)} \cdot (x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 e_{i,m+1,k} x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{i,m,k}^{e_{i,m,k}+1} \cdots x_{i,m+1,k}^{e_{i,m+1,k}-1} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}, \\ T_m^{(3)} \cdot (x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 e_{i,j,m+1} x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{i,j,m}^{e_{i,j,m}+1} \cdots x_{i,j,m+1}^{e_{i,j,m+1}-1} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.7. The eigenvalues of the basis monomial $x_{111}^{e_{111}} \cdots x_{333}^{e_{333}}$ with respect to the elements $H_1^{(1)}, H_2^{(1)}, H_1^{(2)}, H_2^{(2)}, H_1^{(3)}, H_2^{(3)}$ will be denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{11} &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 (e_{1jk} - e_{2jk}), & \omega_{12} &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 (e_{2jk} - e_{3jk}), \\ \omega_{21} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 (e_{i1k} - e_{i2k}), & \omega_{22} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 (e_{i2k} - e_{i3k}), \\ \omega_{31} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 (e_{ij1} - e_{ij2}), & \omega_{32} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 (e_{ij2} - e_{ij3}). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.8. The **weight** of a monomial $M = M(E)$ is the ordered 6-tuple

$$\Omega(E) = (\omega_{11}, \omega_{12}, \omega_{21}, \omega_{22}, \omega_{31}, \omega_{32}).$$

A **weight space** is a subspace of P spanned by the monomials of a given degree d and a given weight Ω , and will be denoted $W(d \mid \Omega)$. A monomial $M = M(E)$ has **weight zero** if $\Omega(E) = O$; that is, $\omega_{\ell m} = 0$ for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$. We

call $W(d | O)$ the **zero weight space** in degree d . A monomial $M = M(E)$ has **higher weight** if $\Omega(E) = \Omega_{\ell m}$ for some $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{1,1} &= (2, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0), & \Omega_{2,1} &= (0, 0, 2, -1, 0, 0), & \Omega_{3,1} &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 2, -1), \\ \Omega_{1,2} &= (-1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0), & \Omega_{2,2} &= (0, 0, -1, 2, 0, 0), & \Omega_{3,2} &= (0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

We call $W(d | \Omega_{\ell m})$ for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$ the **higher weight spaces** in degree d .

Definition 2.9. By Lemma 2.3 the actions of $T_m^{(\ell)}$ induce these linear maps:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^{(1)}: W(d | O) &\longrightarrow W(d | \Omega_{1,1}), & T_2^{(1)}: W(d | O) &\longrightarrow W(d | \Omega_{1,2}), \\ T_1^{(2)}: W(d | O) &\longrightarrow W(d | \Omega_{2,1}), & T_2^{(2)}: W(d | O) &\longrightarrow W(d | \Omega_{2,2}), \\ T_1^{(3)}: W(d | O) &\longrightarrow W(d | \Omega_{3,1}), & T_2^{(3)}: W(d | O) &\longrightarrow W(d | \Omega_{3,2}). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.10. We form the direct sum of these linear maps:

$$\Lambda_d = (T_1^{(1)}, \dots, T_2^{(3)}): W(d | O) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^3 \bigoplus_{m=1}^2 W(d | \Omega_{\ell m}).$$

Lemma 2.11. *The invariant polynomials in degree d for the action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_{333}(\mathbb{C})$ on the space \mathbb{C}^{333} of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ arrays $X = (x_{ijk})$ are the (nonzero) elements of the kernel of the linear map Λ_d .*

Theorem 2.12. *The algebra of invariants for $\mathfrak{sl}_{333}(\mathbb{C})$ is freely generated by three fundamental invariants in degrees 6, 9 and 12.*

Proof. Vinberg [12], especially item 2 in the table on page 491. \square

Corollary 2.13. *For $d = 6$ and $d = 9$, the nullspace of Λ_d has dimension 1, but for $d = 12$, the nullspace of Λ_d has dimension 2.*

2.3. Combinatorics of monomials. Let $E = (e_{ijk})$ be a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ array with non-negative integer entries.

Definition 2.14. The **flattening** of an exponent array E is the ordered list obtained by applying lexicographical order to the subscripts:

$$\text{flat}(E) = [e_{111}, e_{112}, e_{113}, e_{121}, e_{122}, e_{123}, \dots, e_{331}, e_{332}, e_{333}].$$

The **total order** on exponent arrays is defined to be the lex order on flattenings: that is, $E < E'$ for $\text{flat}(E) = [f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{27}]$ and $\text{flat}(E') = [f'_1, f'_2, \dots, f'_{27}]$ if and only if $f_i < f'_i$ where i is the least index with $f_i \neq f'_i$. The **matrix form** of E is:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} e_{111} & e_{121} & e_{131} & e_{112} & e_{122} & e_{132} & e_{113} & e_{123} & e_{133} \\ e_{211} & e_{221} & e_{231} & e_{212} & e_{222} & e_{232} & e_{213} & e_{223} & e_{233} \\ e_{311} & e_{321} & e_{331} & e_{312} & e_{322} & e_{332} & e_{313} & e_{323} & e_{333} \end{array} \right].$$

The third index distinguishes the three 3×3 matrices, the frontal slices of E .

Definition 2.15. A **slice** of E is a 3×3 matrix obtained by fixing one subscript; there are three parallel slices in each of the three directions. We call E an **equal parallel slice (EPS) array** if all parallel slices have the same entry sum.

Lemma 2.16. *A basis for the zero weight space in degree d consists of the monomials of weight d whose exponent array are EPS arrays.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.5. \square

- set `weightzeromonomials` $\leftarrow []$ (*empty list*)
- for f_1 from 0 to $d/3$ do for f_2 from 0 to $d/3 - f_1$ do ...
 - for f_8 from 0 to $d/3 - (f_1 + \dots + f_7)$ do:
 - set $f_9 \leftarrow d/3 - (f_1 + \dots + f_8)$
 - for f_{10} from 0 to $d/3$ do for f_{11} from 0 to $d/3 - f_{10}$ do ...
 - for f_{17} from 0 to $d/3 - (f_{10} + \dots + f_{16})$ do:
 - set $f_{18} \leftarrow d/3 - (f_{10} + \dots + f_{17})$
 - for f_{19} from 0 to $d/3$ do for f_{20} from 0 to $d/3 - f_{19}$ do ...
 - for f_{26} from 0 to $d/3 - (f_{19} + \dots + f_{25})$ do:
 - set $f_{27} \leftarrow d/3 - (f_{19} + \dots + f_{26})$
 - set $e \leftarrow \text{unflatten}(f)$
 - if $\sum_{j,k} e_{1jk} = \sum_{j,k} e_{2jk} = \sum_{j,k} e_{3jk}$ and
 $\sum_{i,k} e_{i1k} = \sum_{i,k} e_{i2k} = \sum_{i,k} e_{i3k}$ and
 $\sum_{i,j} e_{ij1} = \sum_{i,j} e_{ij2} = \sum_{i,j} e_{ij3}$ then
 - append $[f_1, \dots, f_{27}]$ to `weightzeromonomials`
- return `weightzeromonomials`

TABLE 1. Pseudocode to generate weight zero monomials of degree d

- set $\ell \leftarrow 1$
- for $m = 1, 2$ do
 - set `higherweightmonomials` $[\ell, m] \leftarrow []$ (*empty list*)
 - for $x \in \text{weightzeromonomials}$ do
 - set $e \leftarrow \text{unflatten}(x)$
 - for $j = 1, 2, 3$ do for $k = 1, 2, 3$ do
 - if $e_{m+1,j,k} > 0$ then
 - set $f \leftarrow e$
 - set $f_{m+1,j,k} \leftarrow f_{m+1,j,k} - 1$
 - set $f_{m,j,k} \leftarrow f_{m,j,k} + 1$
 - append `flatten`(f) to `higherweightmonomials` $[\ell, m]$
- return `higherweightmonomials` $[\ell, 1]$, `higherweightmonomials` $[\ell, 2]$

TABLE 2. Pseudocode to generate higher weight monomials ($\ell = 1$)

Corollary 2.17. *In degree d , there are no monomials of weight zero, and hence no invariants, unless d is a multiple of 3.*

To generate the exponent arrays for weight zero monomials of degree d , we use nested do loops together with the condition that the horizontal parallel slices have entry sum $d/3$. See Table 1, where the procedure `unflatten` takes a list representing a flattened array and returns the array.

To generate the higher weight monomials, we first use Lemma 2.6 to generate the monomials for weights Ω_{1m} ($m = 1, 2$). We then use symmetry to obtain the monomials for weights $\Omega_{\ell m}$ ($\ell = 2, 3$, $m = 1, 2$): if E is an exponent array for a monomial of weight Ω_{1m} then E' defined by $e'_{ijk} = e_{jik}$ is an exponent array for a monomial of weight Ω_{2m} , and similarly for monomials of weight Ω_{3m} . See Table 2, where the procedure `flatten` takes an array and returns its flattened form.

- **smallgrouporbit**(f)
 - set $e \leftarrow \text{unflatten}(f)$
 - set **orbit** $\leftarrow \{ \}$
 - for $p \in S_3$ do for $q \in S_3$ do for $r \in S_3$ do:
 - for $i = 1, 2, 3$ do for $j = 1, 2, 3$ do for $k = 1, 2, 3$ do:
 - set $f_{i,j,k} \leftarrow e_{p(i),q(j),r(k)}$
 - set **orbit** $\leftarrow \text{orbit} \cup \{ \text{flatten}(f) \}$
 - return **orbit**
- **largegrouporbit**(f)
 - set $e \leftarrow \text{unflatten}(f)$
 - set **orbit** $\leftarrow \{ \}$
 - for $s \in S_3$ do:
 - for $i = 1, 2, 3$ do for $j = 1, 2, 3$ do for $k = 1, 2, 3$ do:
 - set $t \leftarrow (i, j, k)$
 - set $f_{i,j,k} \leftarrow e_{t_{s(1)},t_{s(2)},t_{s(3)}}$
 - **orbit** $\leftarrow \text{orbit} \cup \text{smallgrouporbit}(\text{flatten}(f))$
 - return **orbit**

TABLE 3. Pseudocode to generate the orbits of a weight zero monomial

Definition 2.18. The **symmetry group** of the set of exponent arrays E for weight zero monomials $X(E)$ is the semidirect product

$$G = (S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes S_3.$$

Each factor in the normal subgroup $S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3$ acts by permutation of the parallel slices in the corresponding direction; the last copy of S_3 acts by permuting the directions. More precisely, the group elements (α, β, γ) and δ act on $E = (e_{ijk})$ by:

$$((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \cdot E)_{i,j,k} = e_{\alpha(i),\beta(j),\gamma(k)}, \quad (\delta \cdot E)_{i,j,k} = e_{i^\delta, j^\delta, k^\delta}.$$

Definition 2.19. For a weight zero monomial $M = M(E)$ we define the **orbit** under the action of the symmetry group G to be the set

$$\mathcal{O}(M) = \{ M(g \cdot E) \mid g \in G \}.$$

The **symmetric orbit sum** for a monomial $M = M(E)$ is the sum of the monomials in the orbit, each occurring with coefficient 1:

$$\mathcal{O}^+(M) = \frac{|\mathcal{O}(M)|}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} M(g \cdot E) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{O}(M)} Y.$$

The **alternating orbit sum** for a monomial $M = M(E)$ is

$$\mathcal{O}^-(M) = \frac{|\mathcal{O}(M)|}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \epsilon(g) M(g \cdot E),$$

where $\epsilon(g)$ is the product of the signs of the components of $g = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in G$. For some monomials, the alternating orbit sum will be zero.

To generate the orbit of a weight zero monomial, we use the algorithms in Table 3: **smallgrouporbit** applies the permutations of the slices, and **largegrouporbit** applies the permutations of the directions.

- set $B \leftarrow \text{Matrix}(1944, 1152)$ modulo 101
- set $\ell \leftarrow 1$
- set $c \leftarrow 0$
- for $x \in \text{weightzeromonomials}$ do
 - set $c \leftarrow c + 1$
 - for $m = 1, 2$ do
 - set $e \leftarrow \text{unflatten}(x)$
 - for $j = 1, 2, 3$ do for $k = 1, 2, 3$ do
 - if $e_{m+1,j,k} > 0$ then
 - set $f \leftarrow e$
 - set $f_{m+1,j,k} \leftarrow f_{m+1,j,k} - 1$
 - set $f_{m,j,k} \leftarrow f_{m,j,k} + 1$
 - set $g \leftarrow \text{flatten}(f)$
 - set $r \leftarrow \text{monomialindex}(g, \ell, m)$:
 - set $B_{1152+r,c} \leftarrow (B_{1152+r,c} + e_{m+1,j,k})$ modulo 101
- compute the row canonical form of B

TABLE 4. Pseudocode to fill the matrix ($d = 6$, $\ell = 1$)

3. DEGREE 6

In this section we find an explicit form of the invariant polynomial in degree 6.

Lemma 3.1. *In degree 6, there are 1152 monomials of weight zero, and 792 monomials of each higher weight $\Omega_{\ell m}$ for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$.*

Proof. We generate the monomials using an implementation in Maple 15 of the algorithms in Tables 1 and 2 with $d = 6$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *The nullspace of the matrix representing the linear map L_6 has dimension 1 using arithmetic modulo $p = 101$. Using symmetric representatives, the canonical basis vector of the nullspace has coefficients $\{-10, -4, -2, 1, 2, 4, 8\}$. If we interpret these coefficients as integers then the corresponding polynomial is an invariant for the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_{333}(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. We use the `LinearAlgebra[Modular]` package in Maple 15 to create a matrix B of size 1944×1152 with an upper block of size 1152×1152 and a lower block of size 792×1152 . For $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$ we apply the formulas of Lemma 2.6 to store the matrix representing the linear map $T_m^{(\ell)}$ in the lower block of B and then compute the row canonical form of B . See Table 4 for the case $\ell = 1$, where `monomialindex` is a binary search for a higher weight monomial of given weight in the lexicographically ordered list of all higher weight monomials of that weight. At the end of this computation, the matrix B has rank 1151. From the row canonical form of B , we extract a basis vector for the nullspace. We then perform another computation using rational arithmetic to verify that the corresponding polynomial is indeed an invariant when the modular coefficients are regarded as integers. \square

Lemma 3.3. *The coefficients of the canonical basis vector for the nullspace of L_6 are constant on the orbits for the action of the symmetry group on the weight zero monomials. The coefficients, the orbit sizes, and the matrix forms of the minimal representatives of each orbit, are displayed in Table 5.*

I_6	MINIMAL REPRESENTATIVE	ORBIT SIZE	#
-10	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$	36	1
-4	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$	324	2
-2	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$	162	3
1	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$	36	4
2	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$	108	5
2	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$	324	6
4	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$	54	7
8	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$	108	8

TABLE 5. The basis invariant degree 6

Proof. We implemented the algorithms of Table 3 in Maple 15. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Every invariant polynomial in degree 6 for the $3 \times 3 \times 3$ array $X = (x_{ijk})$ is a scalar multiple of*

$$\begin{aligned} & -10 \mathcal{O}^+(x_{123}x_{132}x_{213}x_{231}x_{312}x_{321}) - 4 \mathcal{O}^+(x_{132}x_{133}x_{213}x_{221}x_{311}x_{322}) \\ & - 2 \mathcal{O}^+(x_{133}^2x_{221}x_{222}x_{311}x_{312}) + \mathcal{O}^+(x_{133}^2x_{222}^2x_{311}^2) \\ & + 2 \mathcal{O}^+(x_{132}x_{133}x_{221}x_{223}x_{311}x_{312}) + 2 \mathcal{O}^+(x_{132}x_{133}x_{213}x_{222}x_{311}x_{321}) \\ & + 4 \mathcal{O}^+(x_{133}^2x_{212}x_{221}x_{311}x_{322}) + 8 \mathcal{O}^+(x_{123}x_{132}x_{213}x_{231}x_{311}x_{322}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a restatement of the results in Table 5. \square

4. DEGREE 9

In this section we find an explicit form of the invariant polynomial in degree 9.

Lemma 4.1. *In degree 9, there are 22620 monomials of weight zero, and 17802 monomials of each higher weight $\Omega_{\ell m}$ for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 4.2. *The nullspace of the matrix representing the linear map L_9 has dimension 1 using arithmetic modulo $p = 101$. Using symmetric representatives, the canonical basis vector of the nullspace has coefficients $\{-1, 0, 1\}$; coefficients ± 1 each occur 4608 times and coefficient 0 occurs 13404 times. If we interpret these coefficients as integers then the corresponding polynomial is an invariant for the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_{333}(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

I_9	MINIMAL REPRESENTATIVE	ORBIT SIZE	#
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	648	1
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & 2 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	648	2
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	1296	3
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	648	4
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	648	5
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	1296	6
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	648	7
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	1296	8
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	216	9
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & 2 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	648	10
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	72	11
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 2 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	432	12
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 2 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	648	13
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 2 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	72	14

TABLE 6. The basis invariant in degree 9

Lemma 4.3. *The canonical basis vector for the nullspace of L_9 is a linear combination of alternating orbit sums for the action of the symmetry group on the weight zero monomials. The coefficients, the orbit sizes, and the matrix forms of the minimal representatives of each orbit, are displayed in Table 6.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3. (There are another 30 orbits for the action of the symmetry group but they all occur with coefficient 0.) \square

Theorem 4.4. *Every invariant polynomial in degree 9 for the $3 \times 3 \times 3$ array $X = (x_{ijk})$ is a scalar multiple of*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{O}^-(x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{213}x_{221}x_{232}x_{311}^2x_{322}) \\ & + \mathcal{O}^-(x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{213}x_{221}x_{231}x_{312}^2x_{321}) \\ & + \mathcal{O}^-(x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{213}x_{221}x_{222}x_{311}x_{312}x_{331}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{213}x_{221}^2x_{311}x_{312}x_{332}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{212}x_{223}x_{231}x_{311}x_{312}x_{321}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{212}x_{222}x_{231}x_{311}^2x_{323}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{212}x_{221}x_{232}x_{311}x_{313}x_{321}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{212}x_{221}x_{231}x_{311}x_{313}x_{322}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{212}x_{213}x_{221}x_{312}x_{321}x_{331}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{212}^2x_{231}x_{313}x_{321}^2) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}x_{133}x_{211}x_{212}x_{232}x_{311}x_{321}x_{323}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}^2x_{213}x_{221}x_{231}x_{311}x_{312}x_{323}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}^2x_{213}x_{221}x_{222}x_{311}^2x_{333}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}^- (x_{123}x_{132}^2x_{213}^2x_{231}x_{312}x_{321}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a restatement of the results in Table 6. \square

5. DEGREE 12

In this section we find explicit forms of two linearly independent invariant polynomials in degree 12, and verify that the simpler of these two invariants can be taken as the generator in degree 12.

Lemma 5.1. *In degree 12, there are 302274 monomials of weight zero, and 254961 monomials of each higher weight $\Omega_{\ell m}$ for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$.*

Even using modular arithmetic, it is impossible to process efficiently a matrix with 302274 columns and $302274 + 254961 = 557235$ rows. Therefore we look for invariants that are linear combinations of the symmetric orbit sums.

Lemma 5.2. *There are 359 orbits of weight zero monomials in degree 12 for the group $(S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3) \rtimes S_3$. The orbit sizes, and the minimal representative of each orbit in the total order of Definition 2.14, are given in columns 3–4 of Tables 7–11.*

It follows that we must consider the nullspace of a matrix with 359 columns; each column corresponds to one of the symmetric orbit sums.

Lemma 5.3. *The nullspace of the matrix representing the restriction of the linear map L_{12} to the span of the symmetric orbit sums has dimension 2 modulo $p = 101$.*

Proof. We use modular arithmetic with $p = 101$ on a matrix M with 359 columns and $359 + 254961 = 255320$ rows consisting of an upper block of size 359×359 and a lower block of size 254961×359 . For each $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$ we consider the restriction of the linear map $T_m^{(\ell)}$ to the subspace of $W(12 | O)$ spanned by the symmetric orbit sums, store the matrix representing this restriction in the lower block of M , and compute the row canonical form of M . After the first iteration ($\ell = m = 1$) the rank is 357, and does not increase for the remaining five iterations. The dimension of the nullspace is therefore $359 - 357 = 2$. \square

Lemma 5.3 agrees with the results quoted in Corollary 2.13 to Vinberg's Theorem 2.12: a basis of the space of invariants in degree 12 consists of I_6^2 together with a new generator I_{12} . We must confirm this result with further computations in characteristic 0.

Lemma 5.4. *Let A be a matrix with entries in the ring \mathbb{Z} of integers, let r_0 be its rank over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers, and let r_p be its rank over the field \mathbb{F}_p with p elements. Then $r_p \leq r_0$ for every prime p . Hence the dimension of the nullspace of A over \mathbb{Q} is no larger than the dimension of the nullspace over \mathbb{F}_p .*

Proof. The Smith normal form $S^{(0)} = (s_{ij}^0)$ over \mathbb{Q} satisfies $s_{ii}^0 = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_0$, other entries 0. Hence the Smith normal form $T = (t_{ij})$ over \mathbb{Z} satisfies $t_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t_{ii} > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_0$, other entries 0. If $k \geq 0$ denotes the number of t_{ii} which are divisible by p , then the Smith normal form $S^{(p)} = (s_{ij}^p)$ over \mathbb{F}_p satisfies $s_{ii}^p = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_0 - k$, other entries 0. Hence $r_p = r_0 - k$. \square

Theorem 5.5. *The polynomials I_{12} and I'_{12} given in columns 1–2 of Tables 7–11 form a reduced basis for the lattice of invariant polynomials in degree 12 with integer coefficients.*

Proof. For each $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$ we totally order the set of 254961 higher weight monomials according to Definition 2.14. We partition each of these sets into 399 blocks each containing 639 consecutive monomials. For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, 359$ we compute the action of $T_m^{(\ell)}$ on the symmetric orbit sum corresponding to column j , and separate the terms of the image corresponding to the different blocks.

We create an integer matrix M with 359 columns and $359 + 639 = 998$ rows, with an upper block of size 359×359 and a lower block of size 639×359 , initialized to zero. For each $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, 359$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, 399$ we consider the terms in block k obtained from symmetric orbit sum j under the action of $T_m^{(\ell)}$, store the integer coefficients of these higher weight monomials in the lower block of M , and compute the Hermite normal form (HNF) of M .

We obtain the same behavior as in the modular case (Lemma 5.3): after the first iteration ($\ell = m = 1$) the rank is 357, and does not increase for the remaining five iterations. At the end of this computation, we obtain an integer matrix of size 357×359 , which we also call M , whose integer nullspace consists of the coefficient vectors of the invariant polynomials of degree 12.

To find a lattice basis for the integer nullspace of M , we compute the HNF of the transpose M^t , obtaining an integer matrix H of size 359×357 and an invertible integer matrix U of size 359×359 for which $UM^t = H$. The last two rows of U form a lattice basis for the integer nullspace of M .

We then perform lattice basis reduction on the last two rows of U to find a short basis of the integer nullspace of M . Since the nullspace lattice is 2-dimensional, the output consists of a shortest nonzero lattice vector and a shortest lattice vector which is not a multiple of the first vector. The components of these reduced nullspace basis vectors I_{12} and I'_{12} are given in columns 1–2 of Tables 7–11.

Finally, we perform an independent check on these two polynomials to confirm that they are annihilated by the action of $T_m^{(\ell)}$ for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2$. \square

For an introduction to lattice basis reduction, with applications to computing the HNF of an integer matrix, see Bremner [1].

Lemma 5.6. *We have $I'_{12} = I_6^2 + 21I_{12}$. Hence I_{12} is the fundamental invariant in degree 12; it involves 235 of the 359 orbits, with a total of 209061 monomials.*

Proof. We computed the expression for I_6^2 as a linear combination of the basis invariants in degree 12, obtaining $I_6^2 = I_{12} + 21I_{12}$. This computation can be

performed very efficiently in Maple 15 using the algorithms of Monagan and Pearce [9, 10] which are based on the work of Johnson [8]. \square

We can now state our main result.

Theorem 5.7. *The three fundamental invariants for $3 \times 3 \times 3$ arrays are:*

- *the polynomial I_6 of Table 5, which is a linear combination of the 8 symmetric orbits in degree 6, and has altogether 1152 terms;*
- *the polynomial I_9 of Table 6, which is the sum of 14 alternating orbits in degree 9, and has altogether 9216 terms;*
- *the polynomial I_{12} of Tables 7–11, which is a linear combination of 235 symmetric orbits in degree 12, and has altogether 209061 terms.*

Corollary 3.9 of Gelfand et al. [6] implies that the hyperdeterminant of a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ array has degree 36, and hence has the form

$$a I_6^6 + b I_6^4 I_{12} + c I_6^3 I_9^2 + d I_6^2 I_{12}^2 + e I_6 I_9^2 I_{12} + f I_9^4 + g I_{12}^3,$$

for some $a, b, c, d, e, f, g \in \mathbb{C}$. It is an open problem to determine these coefficients.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. R. BREMNER: *Lattice Basis Reduction: An Introduction to the LLL Algorithm and its Applications*. CRC Press, 2012.
- [2] M. R. BREMNER, M. G. BICKIS, M. SOLTANIFAR: Cayley’s hyperdeterminant: a combinatorial approach via representation theory. [arXiv:1106.5068v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1106.5068v1) [math.RT] (submitted 24 June 2011)
- [3] E. BRIAND, J.-G. LUQUE, J.-Y. THIBON, F. VERSTRAETE: The moduli space of three-qutrit states. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 45:12 (2004) 4855–4867.
- [4] W. A. DE GRAAF: Computing representatives of nilpotent orbits of θ -groups. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 46:4 (2011) 438–458.
- [5] M. J. DUFF, S. FERRARA: E_6 and the bipartite entanglement of three qutrits. *Physical Review D* 76:12 (2007) 124023, 7 pages.
- [6] I. M. GELFAND, M. M. KAPRANOV, A. V. ZELEVINSKY: Hyperdeterminants. *Advances in Mathematics* 96:2 (1992) 226–263.
- [7] P. HUGGINS, B. STURMFELS, J. YU, D. S. YUSTER: The hyperdeterminant and triangulations of the 4-cube. *Mathematics of Computation* 77:263 (2008) 1653–1679.
- [8] S. C. JOHNSON: Sparse polynomial arithmetic. *ACM SIGSAM Bulletin* 8:3 (1974) 63–71.
- [9] M. MONAGAN, R. PEARCE: Parallel sparse polynomial multiplication using heaps. *ISSAC 2009: Proceedings of the 2009 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, pages 263–269, ACM, 2009.
- [10] M. MONAGAN, R. PEARCE: Sparse polynomial division using a heap. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 46:7 (2011) 807–822.
- [11] A. G. NURMIEV: Orbits and invariants of third-order matrices. *Sbornik Mathematics* 191:5-6 (2000) 717–724.
- [12] E. B. VINBERG: The Weyl group of a graded Lie algebra. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Matematicheskaya* 40:3 (1976) 488–526.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA
E-mail address: bremner@math.usask.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, CANADA
E-mail address: hujiaxiong@gmail.com

I_{12}	I'_{12}	MINIMAL REPRESENTATIVE	ORBIT SIZE	#
0	1	000000004000040000400000000	36	1
0	-4	000000004000130000310000000	324	2
0	6	000000004000220000220000000	162	3
0	4	000000004010120000210100000	324	4
0	8	000000004010120000300010000	216	5
0	-16	000000004010210000210010000	324	6
0	16	000000004020200000200020000	54	7
0	56	000000004110110000110110000	27	8
0	12	000000013000121000310000000	324	9
0	4	000000013000130000301000000	216	10
0	-12	000000013000211000220000000	648	11
0	4	000000013001030000300100000	648	12
0	-4	000000013001120000210100000	1296	13
0	-8	000000013001120000300010000	1296	14
0	16	000000013001210000210010000	648	15
0	12	000000013010021000300100000	648	16
0	-8	000000013010111000210100000	1296	17
0	-16	000000013010111000300010000	648	18
0	-4	000000013010120000201100000	1296	19
0	16	000000013010201000210010000	648	20
0	-12	000000013011020000200200000	1296	21
0	-8	000000013011110000110200000	648	22
0	32	000000013011110000200110000	1296	23
0	-32	000000013011200000200020000	648	24
0	-4	000000013020101000110200000	1296	25
0	16	000000013020101000200110000	1296	26
0	16	000000013020110000200101000	1296	27
0	-24	000000013021100000100210000	648	28
0	-8	000000013030100000100201000	648	29
0	32	000000013100111000120100000	648	30
0	-56	000000013101110000110110000	648	31
0	6	000000022000202000220000000	108	32
0	24	000000022000211000211000000	162	33
0	8	000000022001111000210100000	1296	34
0	16	000000022001111000300010000	648	35
0	4	000000022001120000201100000	1296	36
0	8	000000022001120000300001000	648	37
0	-16	000000022001201000210010000	648	38
0	-16	000000022001210000210001000	324	39
0	6	000000022002020000200200000	324	40
0	4	000000022002110000110200000	648	41
0	-16	000000022002110000200110000	1296	42
0	16	000000022002200000200020000	324	43
0	24	000000022011011000200200000	162	44
0	8	000000022011101000110200000	648	45
0	-32	000000022011101000200110000	1296	46
0	64	000000022011200000200011000	162	47
0	24	000000022012100000100210000	648	48
0	-16	000000022100102000120100000	324	49
0	-64	000000022100111000111100000	162	50
0	56	000000022101101000110110000	324	51
0	56	000000022101110000110101000	162	52
0	-12	000000112000121000211000000	108	53
0	28	000000112001021000210100000	1296	54
0	-4	000000112001021000300010000	1296	55
0	-8	000000112001030000300001000	324	56
0	-24	000000112001111000120100000	1296	57
0	8	000000112001120000210001000	324	58
0	-16	000000112002020000110200000	1296	59
0	4	000000112002020000200110000	648	60
0	56	000000112002110000110110000	324	61
0	8	000000112010012000210100000	1296	62
0	16	000000112010012000300010000	648	63
0	-32	000000112010021000201100000	648	64
0	-32	000000112010102000210010000	648	65
0	32	000000112010111000111100000	324	66
0	16	000000112010111000201010000	648	67
0	-24	000000112011011000110200000	1296	68
0	8	000000112011020000101200000	648	69
0	28	000000112011020000200101000	648	70
0	-8	000000112011101000110110000	648	71
0	48	000000112011101000200020000	648	72

TABLE 7. The two basis invariants in degree 12: page 1

I_{12}	I'_{12}	MINIMAL REPRESENTATIVE	ORBIT SIZE	#
0	72	000000112011110000110101000	324	73
0	-64	000000112011110000200011000	648	74
0	-12	000001012001020100210100000	324	75
0	16	000001012001020100300010000	648	76
1	13	000001012001110010210100000	648	77
-1	-5	000001012001110010300010000	1296	78
-1	19	000001012001110100120100000	1296	79
1	-27	000001012001110100210010000	1296	80
-1	11	000001012001120000210000100	1296	81
1	13	000001012001120000300000010	1296	82
-1	-9	000001012001200010120100000	1296	83
1	5	000001012001200010210010000	1296	84
1	-7	000001012001200100030100000	648	85
2	2	000001012001210000120000100	1296	86
-2	-26	000001012001210000210000010	1296	87
-1	-5	000001012001300000030000100	648	88
1	13	000001012001300000120000010	648	89
-2	-18	000001012010101010210100000	324	90
2	26	000001012010101010300010000	648	91
1	13	000001012010101100120100000	1296	92
-1	-21	000001012010101100210010000	1296	93
0	16	000001012010110001210100000	648	94
0	32	000001012010110001300010000	216	95
1	13	000001012010110010201100000	1296	96
-1	-5	000001012010110010300001000	1296	97
0	-8	000001012010110100111100000	1296	98
1	-27	000001012010110100201010000	1296	99
-1	-21	000001012010110100210001000	1296	100
0	-12	000001012010120000201000100	216	101
0	16	000001012010120000300000001	648	102
0	8	000001012010200001120100000	1296	103
0	-32	000001012010200001210010000	648	104
1	13	000001012010200010111100000	1296	105
-2	-26	000001012010200010201010000	1296	106
1	5	000001012010200010210001000	1296	107
-1	-29	000001012010200100021100000	216	108
1	53	000001012010200100111010000	1296	109
0	8	000001012010200100120001000	1296	110
-1	-9	000001012010201000120000100	1296	111
1	5	000001012010201000210000010	1296	112
-1	19	000001012010210000111000100	1296	113
1	5	000001012010210000201000010	1296	114
0	-32	000001012010210000210000001	648	115
-1	-5	0000010120103000000111000010	1296	116
-2	14	000001012011100100020200000	648	117
4	-60	000001012011100100110110000	648	118
-2	54	000001012011100100200020000	648	119
2	22	000001012011200000020100100	648	120
-3	-15	000001012011200000110010100	1296	121
-1	-21	000001012011200000110100010	1296	122
2	42	000001012011200000200010010	1296	123
2	-38	000001012020200000101010100	648	124
1	5	000001012020200000101100010	1296	125
-2	-10	000001012020200000200001010	648	126
0	64	000001012020200000200010001	216	127
-1	-21	000001012100011100120100000	1296	128
1	13	000001012100011100210010000	1296	129
0	48	000001012100020001210100000	108	130
1	-27	000001012100020100111100000	1296	131
-1	-9	000001012100020100210001000	648	132
2	10	000001012100101010120100000	1296	133
-2	-18	000001012100101010210010000	648	134
0	-64	000001012100110001120100000	648	135
-2	-42	000001012100110010111100000	1296	136
-2	-2	000001012100110100111010000	648	137
1	13	000001012100110100120001000	1296	138
-1	-21	000001012100111000120000100	1296	139
1	13	000001012100111000210000010	648	140
1	-27	000001012100120000111000100	1296	141
-1	-9	000001012100200010120001000	648	142
-1	-9	000001012100201000120000010	216	143
-2	78	000001012101010100110110000	648	144

TABLE 8. The two basis invariants in degree 12: page 2

I_{12}	I'_{12}	MINIMAL REPRESENTATIVE	ORBIT SIZE	#
0	-32	000001012101010100200020000	648	145
-2	-2	000001012101100010110110000	1296	146
1	5	000001012101100010200020000	1296	147
0	32	000001012101110000110010100	648	148
4	60	000001012101110000110100010	1296	149
-1	-21	000001012101110000200010010	1296	150
0	112	000001012110100001110110000	216	151
-2	-2	000001012110100010110101000	648	152
-1	-21	000001012110100010200011000	1296	153
2	10	000001012110110000200001010	648	154
1	-11	000001021001011100300010000	1296	155
-1	-21	000001021001020100300001000	1296	156
0	-24	000001021001101100120100000	648	157
0	16	000001021001101100210010000	1296	158
1	-11	000001021001110001300010000	1296	159
2	-14	000001021001110100111100000	1296	160
-2	22	000001021001110100201010000	648	161
0	16	000001021001110100210001000	1296	162
-1	-21	000001021001120000300000001	1296	163
1	5	0000010210012000001120100000	1296	164
-1	11	000001021001200001210010000	1296	165
1	-27	000001021001200100111010000	1296	166
1	5	000001021001200100120001000	1296	167
-1	11	000001021001201000120000100	1296	168
-3	-15	000001021001210000111000100	1296	169
2	42	000001021001210000210000001	648	170
2	10	000001021001300000021000100	648	171
1	-15	000001021002010100110200000	648	172
-1	11	000001021002010100200110000	1296	173
2	-2	000001021002100100020200000	648	174
-4	28	000001021002100100110110000	1296	175
2	-22	000001021002100100200020000	1296	176
0	16	000001021002110000110100100	1296	177
-1	11	000001021002110000200010100	1296	178
-2	-10	000001021002200000020100100	648	179
3	-1	000001021002200000110010100	1296	180
1	13	000001021010002100300010000	648	181
-1	-5	000001021010011100300001000	1296	182
-2	-42	000001021010101001300010000	648	183
-2	-2	0000010210101010100111100000	1296	184
0	16	000001021010101100201010000	1296	185
2	10	000001021010101100210001000	1296	186
0	16	000001021010110100201001000	648	187
1	-11	000001021010111000300000001	1296	188
-1	-21	000001021010200001111100000	1296	189
2	42	000001021010200001201010000	648	190
-1	-29	000001021010200100102010000	1296	191
-1	-21	000001021010200100111001000	1296	192
3	7	000001021010201000111000100	648	193
1	-15	000001021010210000102000100	432	194
-2	2	000001021010300000012000100	648	195
3	7	000001021011001100110200000	648	196
-3	-15	000001021011001100200110000	1296	197
3	47	000001021011010100200101000	648	198
1	53	000001021011100001200110000	1296	199
0	-24	000001021011100100011200000	648	200
2	26	000001021011100100101110000	1296	201
-2	38	000001021011100100110101000	1296	202
0	-64	000001021011100100200011000	1296	203
-2	-2	000001021011101000110100100	1296	204
3	47	000001021011101000200010100	1296	205
2	-14	000001021011110000101100100	1296	206
-3	-15	000001021011110000200001100	1296	207
1	53	000001021011110000200100001	1296	208
-1	59	000001021011200000101010100	1296	209
1	-27	000001021011200000110001100	1296	210
-2	-106	000001021011200000200010001	648	211
-2	-58	000001021012100000100110100	1296	212
1	5	000001021020001100200101000	648	213
-1	11	000001021020100001200101000	648	214
4	4	000001021020100100101101000	1296	215
-2	-10	000001021020100100200002000	648	216

TABLE 9. The two basis invariants in degree 12: page 3

I_{12}	I'_{12}	MINIMAL REPRESENTATIVE	ORBIT SIZE	#
0	-24	000001021020101000101100100	1296	217
1	5	000001021020101000200001100	1296	218
-1	11	000001021020101000200100001	1296	219
-3	17	0000010210202000000101001100	1296	220
2	-22	0000010210202000000200001001	648	221
2	10	0000010210211000000100101100	1296	222
0	32	000001021100011100111100000	1296	223
-2	22	0000010211001010011120100000	324	224
0	-8	0000010211001011001110100000	1296	225
-2	-18	000001021100101100120001000	648	226
2	106	0000010211001100011111100000	648	227
0	-8	000001021100110100111001000	1296	228
0	32	000001021100111000111000100	1296	229
4	-20	000001021101001100110110000	1296	230
1	53	0000010211010100011110200000	648	231
2	-54	000001021101010100101110000	1296	232
-2	-82	000001021101010100110101000	1296	233
0	32	000001021101011000110100100	1296	234
-2	22	000001021101020000101100100	1296	235
2	-54	000001021101100001110110000	1296	236
0	32	000001021101100100110011000	1296	237
-4	-36	000001021101101000110010100	1296	238
2	26	000001021101110000110001100	1296	239
-4	-116	000001021101110000110100001	648	240
-2	22	000001021110001001110200000	648	241
-2	-2	000001021110001100110101000	1296	242
2	10	000001021110002000110100100	648	243
1	21	000001030001011100300001000	648	244
0	24	000001030001101100111100000	648	245
-1	-5	000001030001101100210001000	1296	246
-2	-10	000001030001110001300001000	108	247
2	10	000001030001200001210001000	648	248
3	-17	000001030002100100110101000	432	249
-2	22	000001030002200100200011000	648	250
2	42	000001030002200000200010001	216	251
1	1	000001030003000100010300000	72	252
-4	-4	000001030011100100101101000	648	253
2	-22	0000010301001001001111100000	648	254
2	18	000001030100101100111001000	216	255
2	58	000001030101010100101101000	648	256
-4	4	0000011110010201001111100000	324	257
3	47	000001111001020100210001000	1296	258
0	24	0000011110011100101111100000	648	259
-2	-42	000001111001110010210001000	1296	260
4	20	000001111001120000111000100	648	261
-1	-21	000001111001120000210000001	648	262
-3	17	000001111002010100020200000	648	263
4	-20	000001111002010100110110000	1296	264
-1	11	000001111002010100200020000	1296	265
0	16	000001111002020000110100100	648	266
4	4	000001111002110000020100100	1296	267
-4	-36	000001111002110000110010100	1296	268
0	32	000001111010011100201010000	1296	269
2	18	000001111010101010111100000	648	270
2	-22	000001111010101100111010000	648	271
-2	-42	000001111010110001201010000	648	272
-4	-84	000001111010111000111000100	216	273
-2	-42	000001111010200001111010000	324	274
-2	-2	000001111010200010111001000	324	275
-4	36	000001111011001100110110000	1296	276
2	58	000001111011010100101110000	648	277
-2	-50	000001111011010100110101000	1296	278
2	18	000001111011011000110100100	648	279
0	32	000001111011011000200100010	1296	280
-2	-10	000001111011100001110110000	1296	281
0	-64	000001111011100001200020000	1296	282
0	-56	000001111011100010110101000	1296	283
2	106	000001111011100010200011000	648	284
0	-48	000001111011100100101020000	1296	285
2	58	000001111011100100110011000	1296	286
6	46	000001111011101000110100010	648	287
-4	-36	000001111011101000200010010	1296	288

TABLE 10. The two basis invariants in degree 12: page 4

I_{12}	I'_{12}	MINIMAL REPRESENTATIVE	ORBIT SIZE	#
0	-96	000001111011110000110100001	648	289
2	106	000001111011110000200010001	1296	290
4	-108	000001111110001001110110000	324	291
2	10	000001120001110001210001000	324	292
-4	-20	000001120001200001120001000	324	293
1	21	000001120002020000200100001	1296	294
-1	-13	000001120002100001020200000	432	295
0	16	000001120002100001110110000	1296	296
4	84	000001120002110000110100001	648	297
-3	-63	000001120002110000200010001	1296	298
2	10	000001120010101001201010000	648	299
-2	-26	000001120010200001102010000	324	300
0	-48	000001120011100001101110000	1296	301
2	26	000001120011100001110101000	1296	302
-2	22	000001120011100001200011000	648	303
-4	-36	000001120011101000110100001	1296	304
1	53	000001120011101000200010001	1296	305
3	-33	000001120011110000200001001	1296	306
-2	-58	000001120020100001101101000	1296	307
4	4	000001120020101000101100001	648	308
-2	22	000001120021100000100101001	324	309
2	26	000001120101010001101110000	1296	310
0	-48	000001120101010001110101000	648	311
-4	44	000001120101110000110001001	648	312
-4	18	000002020002000200020200000	36	313
6	-34	000002020002000200110110000	324	314
-2	22	000002020002000200200020000	108	315
-5	23	000002020002100100110010100	648	316
-12	92	000002020011100100011100100	162	317
4	-76	000002020011100100101010100	648	318
0	128	000002020011100100200010001	324	319
-8	40	0000020201010000101110110000	324	320
-4	108	000002020101010100101010100	324	321
4	164	000002020101010100110100001	324	322
2	10	000002020101100001110010100	648	323
8	-72	000002110011000101110110000	1296	324
-6	50	000002110011010100101100010	648	325
-2	38	000002110011010100110100001	1296	326
5	41	000002110011010100200010001	648	327
0	112	000002110011100001110010100	1296	328
-6	-30	000002110011100001110100010	432	329
-2	158	000002110011100010101010100	324	330
-1	-181	000002110011100010200010001	648	331
-4	-116	000002110011100100110010001	1296	332
2	-54	000002110011110000110000101	648	333
-6	34	000002110011110000200000011	324	334
4	20	000002110110010001110100001	324	335
24	-88	000011011011100100011100100	27	336
-8	8	000011011011100100101010100	648	337
10	-6	0000110110110000101110110000	108	338
-8	48	0000110110110000110101110000	324	339
-2	70	0000110110110000110110101000	648	340
4	172	000011011011010100101100010	324	341
-2	-130	000011011011010100110100001	324	342
-12	60	000011010110001101011100000	108	343
-4	76	000011010110001101101010000	324	344
2	-22	000011010110011001101000010	1296	345
4	-108	000011010110101001011000010	648	346
0	-136	00001101011100001110010100	216	347
6	46	000011010111000101011000100	648	348
4	92	00001101011100010110001100	648	349
0	-16	000011010111000101101000001	1296	350
8	88	001001110001020100110100001	216	351
-4	-164	001001110001110010110100001	648	352
4	180	001001110010101010200010001	324	353
0	144	001001110010101100110010001	648	354
-4	236	001001110010110001110100001	108	355
0	-128	001001110010110001200010001	216	356
-2	-82	001010101010110001200001010	324	357
6	6	001010101100011010110100001	72	358
2	222	001010200020100001100002010	36	359

TABLE 11. The two basis invariants in degree 12: page 5