

# On a Theorem of Tignol for Defectless extensions and its converse <sup>\*</sup>

Amrit Pal Singh and Sudesh K. Khanduja <sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India.

E-mail: amrit\_virk@rediffmail.com, skhand@pu.ac.in

*Abstract.* Let  $(K, v)$  be a Henselian valued field of arbitrary rank. In 1990, Tignol proved that if  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  is a finite separable defectless extension of degree a prime number, then the set  $A_{K'/K} = \{v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\alpha)) - v'(\alpha) | \alpha \in K', \alpha \neq 0\}$  has a minimum element. This paper extends Tignol's result to all finite separable extensions. Moreover a characterization of finite separable defectless extensions is given by showing that  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  is a defectless extension if and only if the set  $A_{K'/K}$  has a minimum element. Our proof also leads to a new proof of the well known result that each finite extension of a formally  $\wp$ -adic field (or more generally of a finitely ramified valued field) is defectless.

2000 Subject Classification No: 12J10, 12J25.

Key words and phrases: valued fields, Non-Archimedean valued fields.

---

<sup>\*</sup>The authors are thankful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for financial support.

<sup>†</sup>All correspondence may be addressed to this author.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $v$  is a Henselian valuation of arbitrary rank of a field  $K$  with residue field  $R(K)$  and  $\bar{v}$  is the unique prolongation of  $v$  to a fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ . A finite extension  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  (or briefly  $K'/K$ ) will be called defectless if  $[K' : K] = ef$  where  $e$  and  $f$  are respectively the index of ramification and the residual degree of  $v'/v$ . This extension will be referred to as tame if (a) it is defectless; (b) the residue field of  $v'$  is a separable extension of the residue field of  $v$ ; (c) the ramification index of  $v'/v$  is not divisible by the characteristic of the residue field of  $v$ .

Let  $(K', v') \subseteq (\bar{K}, \bar{v})$  be a finite extension of  $(K, v)$ . Since  $(K, v)$  is Henselian, for any  $\alpha$  in  $K'$  and  $\sigma$  in  $Gal(\bar{K}/K)$ ,  $\bar{v} \circ \sigma(\alpha) = \bar{v}(\alpha)$  and consequently  $v(Tr_{K'/K}(\alpha)) \geq v'(\alpha)$ ; here and elsewhere  $Tr$  stands for the trace. In 1990, Tignol proved that if  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  is a finite separable extension of degree any prime number, then the set  $A_{K'/K}$  defined by

$$A_{K'/K} = \{v(Tr_{K'/K}(\alpha)) - v'(\alpha) | \alpha \in K', \alpha \neq 0\} \quad (1)$$

has a minimum element provided  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  is a defectless extension (cf.[4, Prop. 2.5] or [5, Lemma 1.1]). He also proved that the smallest element of  $A_{K'/K}$  is zero in case  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  is a tame extension. In 2000, Khanduja [2] proved that the above result of Tignol in fact holds for all finite tame extensions and showed that a finite separable extension  $(K', v')$  of a Henselian valued field  $(K, v)$  is tame if and only if zero is the minimum element of  $A_{K'/K}$ . We have observed that if  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  is any finite separable defectless extension, then the set  $A_{K'/K}$  has a minimum element (see Lemma 2.2). This gives rise to the following natural question.

*Let  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  be a finite separable extension for which the set  $A_{K'/K}$  has a minimum element. Is it true that  $(K', v')$  is a defectless extension of  $(K, v)$ ?*

In this paper, we prove that the answer to the above question is in the affirmative. In other words, it is proved that a finite separable extension  $(K', v')$  of  $(K, v)$  is de-

fectless if and only if the set  $A_{K'/K}$  has a minimum element. It will be shown that this characterization of defectless extensions quickly implies that every finite extension of a finitely ramified valued field is defectless, thereby providing a new proof of this well known result. Recall that a valued field  $(K, v)$  is said to be finitely ramified if the value group of  $v$  admits a least positive element  $\lambda$  and there is a prime number  $p$  and a natural number  $e$  such that  $v(p) = e\lambda$ ; such a valued field has characteristic 0 and  $p$  is the characteristic of its residue field.

In the course of proof we use the notion of valuation basis. A set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of elements of an  $n$ -dimensional extension  $(K', v')$  of  $(K, v)$  is said to be a valuation basis of  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  if for every choice of elements  $a_i \in K$ , we have  $v'(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i) = \min_i \{v'(a_i x_i)\}$ . Note that a valuation basis of  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  is linearly independent over  $K$  and hence is a basis of  $K'/K$ .

The main result of the present paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $v$  be a Henselian valuation of arbitrary rank of a field  $K$ . Let  $K'/K$  be a finite separable extension and  $v'$  be the prolongation of  $v$  to  $K'$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $(K', v')$  is a defectless extension of  $(K, v)$ .
- (ii)  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  has a valuation basis.
- (iii) The set  $A_{K'/K} = \{v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\beta)) - v'(\beta) \mid \beta \in K', \beta \neq 0\}$  has a minimum element.

The following corollary will be deduced from the above theorem.

**Corollary 1.2.** Each finite extension of a finitely ramified Henselian valued field is defectless.

## 2. Some preliminary results

Let  $(K, v)$  and  $(\overline{K}, \overline{v})$  be as in the preceding section. For any  $\xi$  in the valuation ring

of  $\bar{v}$ ,  $\xi^*$  will denote its  $\bar{v}$ -residue, i.e., the image of  $\xi$  under the canonical homomorphism from the valuation ring of  $\bar{v}$  onto its residue field.

The result of the following lemma is well known. For the sake of completeness, we give its proof here.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(K', v')$  be a finite defectless extension of a Henselian valued field  $(K, v)$ . Then it has a valuation basis.*

**Proof.** Let  $G \subseteq G'$  and  $R(K) \subseteq R(K')$  denote respectively the value groups and the residue fields of  $v$  and  $v'$ . Let  $e$  and  $f$  stand respectively for the index of  $G$  in  $G'$  and the degree of the extension  $R(K')/R(K)$ . Choose elements  $x_1, \dots, x_e$  in  $K'$  for which the cosets  $G + v'(x_1), \dots, G + v'(x_e)$  are all distinct. Choose  $y_1, \dots, y_f$  in the valuation ring of  $v'$  such that their  $v'$ -residues  $y_1^*, \dots, y_f^*$  are linearly independent over  $R(K)$ . Observe that the extension  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  being defectless, has degree  $ef$ . Claim is that the set  $\{x_i y_j, 1 \leq i \leq e, 1 \leq j \leq f\}$  is a valuation basis of  $(K', v')/(K, v)$ . Suppose that the claim is false. Then there exists an element  $x = \sum_{j=1}^f \sum_{i=1}^e a_{ij} x_i y_j$  in  $K'$  with  $a_{ij}$  in  $K$  for which  $v'(x) > \min_{i,j} \{v'(a_{ij} x_i y_j)\}$ . If necessary after renaming, we may assume that  $\min_{i,j} \{v'(a_{ij} x_i y_j)\} = v'(a_{11} x_1 y_1)$ . The elements  $y_1^*, \dots, y_f^*$  being linearly independent over  $R(K)$  are non-zero and hence  $v'(y_j) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq f$ . Thus we have

$$v'\left(\sum_{i=1}^e \sum_{j=1}^f a_{ij} x_i y_j\right) > \min_{i,j} \{v'(a_{ij} x_i y_j)\} = v'(a_{11} x_1). \quad (2)$$

Since  $G + v'(x_1)$  is different from  $G + v'(x_i)$  when  $2 \leq i \leq e$ , it follows from the equality in (2) that  $v'(a_{ij} x_i y_j) > v'(a_{11} x_1)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq e, 1 \leq j \leq f$ ; consequently  $v'\left(\sum_{i=2}^e \sum_{j=1}^f a_{ij} x_i y_j\right) > v'(a_{11} x_1)$ . Therefore (2) implies that

$$v'\left(\sum_{j=1}^f a_{1j} x_1 y_j\right) > v'(a_{11} x_1).$$

The above inequality shows that  $\sum_{j=1}^f \left(\frac{a_{1j}}{a_{11}}\right)^* y_j^* = 0^*$  which contradicts the linear independen-

dence of  $y_1^*, \dots, y_f^*$  over  $R(K)$ . This contradiction proves the lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that a finite separable extension  $(K', v')$  of a Henselian valued field  $(K, v)$  has a valuation basis  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ . Then the set  $A_{K'/K}$  defined by (1) has smallest element equal to  $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(w_i)) - v'(w_i)\}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i$  be any non-zero element of  $K'$ ,  $a_i \in K$ . Then

$$v'(\beta) = \min_i v'(a_i w_i) = v'(a_k w_k) \quad (\text{say}). \quad (3)$$

Using the triangle law, we have

$$v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\beta)) \geq \min_i \{v(a_i \text{Tr}_{K'/K}(w_i))\} = v(a_j) + v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(w_j)) \quad (\text{say}). \quad (4)$$

It follows from (3) and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\beta)) - v'(\beta) &\geq v(a_j) + v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(w_j)) - v'(a_k w_k) \\ &\geq v(a_j) + v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(w_j)) - v'(a_j w_j) \\ &= v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(w_j)) - v'(w_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that for any  $\beta \neq 0$  in  $K'$ , the inequality

$$v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\beta)) - v'(\beta) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(w_i)) - v'(w_i)\}$$

holds as desired.

As usual, an extension  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  (or briefly  $K'/K$  when the underlying valuations are clear) will be called an immediate extension if  $v'$  and  $v$  have the same value group and the same residue field.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $(K', v')$  be a finite separable extension of a Henselian valued field  $(K, v)$ . Let  $L$  be an intermediate field such that  $K'/L$  is an immediate extension of*

degree strictly greater than one. Then the set  $A_{K'/K}$  defined by (1) does not have any minimum element.

**Proof.** To prove the lemma, it is clearly enough to show that for any given non-zero element  $\xi$  in  $K'$ , there exists an element  $\eta$  in  $K'$  satisfying the following two conditions

$$v'(\eta) > v'(\xi), \quad \text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\eta) = \text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\xi). \quad (5)$$

We split the proof in two cases.

**Case (i).** Char  $K = 0$ . In this case there exists a generator  $\theta$  of the extension  $K'/L$  with  $\text{Tr}_{K'/L}(\theta) = 0$ . Since  $K'/L$  is an immediate extension, on replacing  $\theta$  by  $\theta/a$  for a suitable element  $a \in L$ , we can assume that

$$v'(\theta) = 0 \text{ and } \theta^* = 1^*. \quad (6)$$

Let  $\xi$  be any non-zero element of  $K'$ . Using the fact that  $K'/L$  is an immediate extension, we can choose an element  $c$  belonging to  $L$  satisfying

$$(\xi/c)^* = -1^*. \quad (7)$$

We verify that (5) holds for the element  $\eta$  defined by  $\eta = \xi + c\theta$ . It follows from (6) and (7) that

$$(\eta/\xi)^* = 1^* + (c/\xi)^*\theta^* = 0^*.$$

Therefore  $v'(\eta) > v'(\xi)$ . Since  $\text{Tr}_{K'/L}(\theta) = 0$ , we have

$$\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\eta) = \text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\xi) + \text{Tr}_{L/K}(c\text{Tr}_{K'/L}(\theta)) = \text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\xi)$$

as desired.

**Case (ii).** Char  $K = p > 0$ . Let  $\xi$  be any non-zero element of  $K'$ . Fix an element  $c$  of  $L$  satisfying (7). Define an element  $\eta$  of  $K'$  by  $\eta = \xi + c$ . Then clearly

$$(\eta/\xi)^* = 1 + (c/\xi)^* = 0^*.$$

Since  $\text{char } K = p > 0$ , and  $K'/L$  is an extension of degree  $p^r > 1$ , we have  $\text{Tr}_{K'/L}(c) = p^r c = 0$ . Therefore  $\eta$  satisfies (5).

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  be a finite separable extension of Henselian valued fields. Let  $L$  be an intermediate field such that  $K'/L$  is a defectless extension with respect to the valuation obtained by restricting  $v'$  to  $L$ . Suppose that  $A_{K'/K}$  has a minimum element, then  $A_{L/K}$  has a minimum element.*

**Proof.** As  $K'/L$  is a defectless extension, it has a valuation basis  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$  by virtue of Lemma 2.1. We denote  $\min A_{K'/K}$  by  $\lambda$  and set

$$t_i = \text{Tr}_{K'/L}(\theta_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Let  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \theta_i$ ,  $a_i \in L$ , be an element of  $K'$  such that  $\lambda = v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\beta)) - v'(\beta)$ , i.e.,

$$\lambda = v\left(\sum_i \text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_i t_i)\right) - v'\left(\sum_i a_i \theta_i\right). \quad (8)$$

If an index  $s$  is defined so as

$$\min_i \{v(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_i t_i))\} = v(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_s t_s)), \quad (9)$$

then we are going to show that  $a_s t_s \neq 0$  and

$$\lambda = v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(a_s \theta_s)) - v'(a_s \theta_s); \quad (10)$$

this will be used to prove that

$$\min A_{L/K} = v(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_s t_s)) - v'(a_s t_s) \quad (11)$$

which will complete the proof of the lemma.

Observe that  $a_s t_s \neq 0$ , for otherwise  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_i t_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  by virtue of (9); this would imply that  $\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\beta) = \sum_i \text{Tr}_{K'/K}(a_i \theta_i) = \sum_i \text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_i t_i) = 0$  leading to  $\lambda = \infty$ , which is impossible as  $K'/K$  is a separable extension. Using (8) and (9) and the fact that  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$  is a valuation basis of  $K'/L$ , we see that

$$\lambda \geq \min_i \{v(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_i t_i))\} - \min_i \{v'(a_i \theta_i)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq v(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_s t_s)) - v'(a_s \theta_s) \\
&= v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(a_s \theta_s)) - v'(a_s \theta_s).
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed the inequality  $\lambda \geq v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(a_s \theta_s)) - v'(a_s \theta_s)$  just proved must be an equality by virtue of the fact that  $\lambda$  is minimum of  $A_{K'/K}$ . This proves (10).

Suppose to the contrary that (11) is false. Then there exists a non-zero element  $c$  of  $L$  such that

$$v(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(c)) - v'(c) < v(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_s t_s)) - v'(a_s t_s). \quad (12)$$

As  $t_s \neq 0$ , we can write  $c$  as  $bt_s$ ,  $b \in L$ . Consider the element  $b\theta_s$  of  $K'$ . Keeping in mind (12) and the equality  $\text{Tr}_{K'/L}(\theta_s) = t_s$ , a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(b\theta_s)) - v'(b\theta_s) &= v(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(bt_s)) - v'(b\theta_s) \\
&< v(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(a_s t_s)) - v'(a_s t_s) + v'(bt_s) - v'(b\theta_s) \\
&= v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(a_s \theta_s)) - v'(a_s \theta_s).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it now follows from (10) that

$$v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(b\theta_s)) - v'(b\theta_s) < \lambda$$

which is impossible as  $\lambda$  is the minimum element of the set  $A_{K'/K}$ . This contradiction proves (11) and hence the lemma.

We shall use the following already known theorem. Its proof is omitted (see [2]).

**Theorem 2.A.** *A finite separable extension  $(K', v')$  of a Henselian valued field  $(K, v)$  is tame if and only if there exists  $\alpha \neq 0$  in  $K'$  satisfying  $v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\alpha)) = v'(\alpha)$ .*

We now prove a theorem which will be used to prove Theorem 1.1; it is of independent interest as well.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(K, v) \subset (K', v') \subset (K'', v'')$  be a tower of finite separable extensions. Suppose that  $A_{K''/K'}$  and  $A_{K'/K}$  have minimum elements. Then  $A_{K''/K}$  has a minimum element which equals  $\min A_{K''/K'} + \min A_{K'/K}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  be any non-zero element of  $K''$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} v(\text{Tr}_{K''/K}(\alpha)) - v''(\alpha) &= v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\text{Tr}_{K''/K'}(\alpha))) - v'(\text{Tr}_{K''/K'}(\alpha)) + \\ &\quad v'(\text{Tr}_{K''/K'}(\alpha)) - v''(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $A_{K''/K} \subset A_{K''/K'} + A_{K'/K}$ ; hence  $A_{K''/K}$  is bounded from below by  $\min A_{K''/K'} + \min A_{K'/K}$ . On the other hand, if  $a' \in K'$  and  $\gamma \in K''$  satisfy

$$v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(a')) - v'(a') = \min A_{K'/K}$$

and

$$v'(\text{Tr}_{K''/K'}(\gamma)) - v''(\gamma) = \min A_{K''/K'},$$

then one can quickly verify that  $b = \gamma a' \text{Tr}_{K''/K'}(\gamma)^{-1}$  satisfies

$$v(\text{Tr}_{K''/K}(b)) - v''(b) = \min A_{K''/K'} + \min A_{K'/K};$$

hence  $\min A_{K''/K'} + \min A_{K'/K} \in A_{K''/K}$ . The theorem follows.

The corollary stated below is an immediate consequence of the above theorem and Theorem 2.A.

**Corollary.** *Let  $(K, v) \subseteq (K', v') \subseteq (K'', v'')$  be a tower of finite separable extensions such that  $K''/K'$  is a tame extension. Suppose that  $A_{K'/K}$  has a minimum element. Then  $A_{K''/K}$  has a minimum element which equals  $\min A_{K'/K}$ .*

The following theorem which will be used in the sequel is essentially proved in [3, Lemma 3.15]. For the sake of readers' convenience and ready reference, we give its proof here.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $v$  be a Henselian valuation of a field  $K$  whose residue field is of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $w$  be its prolongation to the separable closure  $K^{sep}$  of  $K$ . Let  $K' \subseteq K^{sep}$  be a finite extension of  $K$  which is not tame. Then there exists a finite tame extension  $T$  of  $K$  such that  $TK'/T$  is a tower of extensions of degree  $p$  each.*

**Proof.** Let  $K^V$  denote the maximal tame extension of  $(K, v)$  contained in  $(K^{sep}, w)$ . By ramification theory,  $K^V$  is the ramification field of the extension  $(K^{sep}, w)/(K, v)$  and  $K^{sep}/K^V$  is a  $p$ -extension (cf. [1, 22.7, 20.18]). Write  $K' = K(\alpha)$ . Let  $K^V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)$  be the smallest Galois extension of  $K^V$  containing  $\alpha$ . Consider the groups

$$H_o = Gal(K^V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)/K^V), \quad H = Gal(K^V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)/K^V(\alpha)).$$

Since  $K'/K$  is not a tame extension,  $\alpha$  does not belong to  $K^V$ . Therefore  $|H_o| > 1$ ; in fact by what has been said in the above paragraph, the order of  $H_o$  must be a power of  $p$ . So there exists a descending chain of subgroups

$$H_o \supset H_1 \supset \dots \supset H_t = H \supset H_{t+1} \supset \dots \supset \{e\}$$

such that each  $H_i$  is a normal subgroup of  $H_{i-1}$  of index  $p$ . Let  $K^V(\beta_1), K^V(\beta_1, \beta_2), \dots, K^V(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t) = K^V(\alpha)$  denote respectively the fixed fields of  $H_1, \dots, H_t = H$ . It is clear that

$$K^V \subset K^V(\beta_1) \subset K^V(\beta_1, \beta_2) \subset \dots \subset K^V(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t) = K^V(\alpha) \quad (13)$$

is a tower of extensions of degree  $p$  each. Assume without loss of generality that  $\beta_t = \alpha$ . Let  $X^p + a_{11}X^{p-1} + \dots + a_{1p}$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta_1$  over  $K^V$ . Let  $K_1$  denote the field obtained by adjoining to  $K$  the coefficients  $a_{11}, \dots, a_{1p}$ . Let  $X^p + b_{21}X^{p-1} + \dots + b_{2p}$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta_2$  over  $K^V[\beta_1]$ . We can write  $b_{2i}$  as

$$b_{2i} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_{2ij} \beta_1^j, \quad a_{2ij} \in K^V.$$

Let  $K_2$  denote the field obtained by adjoining to  $K_1$  the  $p^2$  elements  $\{a_{2ij}, 1 \leq i \leq p, 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}$ . Repeating this process  $t$  times, we obtain a subfield  $K_t$  of  $K^V$  which

is a finite tame extension of  $K$ . Denote  $K_t$  by  $T$ . Clearly

$$T \subset T(\beta_1) \subset T(\beta_1, \beta_2) \subset \dots \subset T(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t) \quad (14)$$

is a tower of extensions of degree  $p$  each. Since  $T(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t)$  contains  $\beta_t = \alpha$  and  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $K^V$  of degree  $p^t$  by virtue of (13), it now follows from (14) that  $T(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t) = T(\alpha) = TK'$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The assertions (i)  $\implies$  (ii) and (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) hold in view of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 respectively. We now prove (iii)  $\implies$  (i). Since every finite tame extension is defectless, it may be assumed that  $K'/K$  is not a tame extension. Let the prime number  $p$  denote the characteristic of the residue field of  $v$ . Applying Theorem 2.6, we see that there exists a tame extension  $T$  of  $K$  such that  $TK'/T$  is a tower of extensions  $T \subset T_1 \subset \dots \subset T_s = TK'$  of degree  $p$  each. Since tameness is preserved under composition [1, 20.15(b)],  $TK'/K'$  is a tame extension. By hypothesis  $A_{K'/K}$  has a minimum element. Therefore by the corollary following Theorem 2.5,  $\min A_{TK'/K}$  exists. It now follows from Lemma 2.3 that the extension  $T_s = TK'$  of  $T_{s-1}$  having degree  $p$  is defectless. Now applying Lemma 2.4 to the tower of extensions  $K \subset T_{s-1} \subset T_s$ , we see that  $\min A_{T_{s-1}/K}$  exists. Repetition of the above argument (with  $T_s$  replaced by  $T_{s-1}$ ) yields that  $T_{s-2}/T_{s-1}$  is defectless and  $\min A_{T_{s-2}/K}$  exists. Continuing this process  $s$  times, we conclude that  $T_s = TK'$  is a defectless extension of  $T$ . Also  $T/K$  being tame is defectless. Consequently  $TK'/K$  is a defectless extension and so is  $K'/K$ .

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* Let  $(K', v')$  be an extension of a finitely ramified Henselian valued field  $(K, v)$  of degree  $n$ . Let  $p$  be the characteristic of the residue field of  $v$  and  $v(p)/e$  be the least positive element of the value group  $G$  of  $v$ . Let  $r$  be the largest positive integer such that  $v(p)/er$  belongs to the value group  $G'$  of  $v'$ . We indeed verify that the smallest convex subgroup  $C$  of  $G'$  containing  $v(p)$  is the cyclic group generated by

$v(p)/er$ . Note that an element  $g'$  of  $G'$  belongs to  $C$  if and only if  $\max\{g', -g'\} \leq sv(p)$  for some positive integer  $s$ . Let  $h$  be any positive element of  $C$ . There exists a non-negative integer  $m$  such that  $mv(p)/e \leq nh < (m+1)v(p)/e$ . As  $v(p)/e$  is the least positive element of  $G$  and  $nh - mv(p)/e$  belongs to  $G$ , it follows that  $nh = mv(p)/e$ . So we can write  $h = av(p)/ber$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime positive integers. If  $a', b'$  are integers satisfying  $aa' + bb' = 1$ , then it is clear that  $v(p)/ber = a'h + (b'v(p)/er)$  is an element of  $G'$ . Since  $r$  is the largest integer such that  $v(p)/er$  belongs to  $G'$ , we conclude that  $b = 1$  and hence  $h = av(p)/er$  is in the cyclic group generated by  $v(p)/er$  as desired.

To prove that  $(K', v')/(K, v)$  is defectless, in view of Theorem 1.1, it is enough to show that the set  $A_{K'/K}$  has a minimum element. Observe that  $v(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(1)) - v(1) = v(n)$  belongs to  $A_{K'/K} \cap C$ . Since  $C$  is the cyclic group generated by  $v(p)/er$ , it follows that  $\min A_{K'/K} = qv(p)/er$  where  $q$  is the least non-negative integer such that  $qv(p)/er$  belongs to  $A_{K'/K}$ .

### Acknowledgments

The authors are highly grateful to Professor N. Sankaran former Professor, Panjab University (Chandigarh) for numerous discussions and constructive suggestions.

### References

- [1] O. Endler, Valuation Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [2] S. K. Khanduja, A Characterization of Finite Tame Extensions, Bulletin of London Math Society 32 (2000) 551-554.
- [3] F. -V. Kuhlmann, Henselian function fields and tame fields, Manuscript, 1990.
- [4] J. -P. Tignol, Algebres à division et extensions de corps sauvagement ramifiées de degré premier, J. reine angew. Math. 404 (1990) 1-38.
- [5] J. -P. Tignol, Classification of wild cyclic field extensions and division algebras of prime degree over a Henselian field, Contemporary Math. 131 (1992) 491-508.